



**BS Biomedical Engineering
Final Term Faculty Evaluation
Undergraduate Courses
FALL 2023**

Date: 05-04-2024

Chairperson BioMedical Engineering

Subject: **Final Term Faculty Evaluation Report – FALL 2023**

1. The Directorate of QA&C conducts online Final-Term Faculty's Evaluation Survey in each semester to evaluate faculty members and lab engineers of all the departments and score them accordingly.
2. The faculty evaluation of Undergraduate Programs for FALL Semester 2023 has been completed. The report along with analysis and recommendations has been approved by the Vice Chancellor.
3. The report of your department consists of the following information.
 - a. Analysis and Major Concerns of Students.
 - b. General Recommendations by the Directorate of QA&C.
 - c. Overall Department's Faculty Evaluation.
 - d. Individual faculty members' evaluation.
 - e. Students' comment (semester wise).
2. It is therefore requested that kindly examine the reports and take appropriate action and submit the compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C to complete the CQI cycle.

(Prof. Dr. Tahir Nadeem Malik)

Director QA&C

Analysis

S. No.	Dept.	Sem.	Theory Course	Lab Course	Analysis	
1	Biomedical Engineering	1st	7	3	3.00 - 3.49 = 0 FM = 0%	
		3rd	3	3	3.50 - 3.99 = 0 FM = 0%	
		5th	3	2	4.00 - 4.49 = 13 FM = 62%	
		Total	13	8	4.50 - 5.00 = 8 FM = 38%	
		Major Concerns of Students:				
		1. Concerns about Projectors and Lab equipment's functioning.				
		2. Frequent rearranged and unscheduled classes created disturbance in understanding the subject.				
		3. Students showed concerns of teaching style of Maths teacher Dr. Arifullah Khan.				
		4. Student's issues related to Coordinator's behavior.				

General Recommendations

The primary objective of conducting teacher evaluations by students in each semester is to address the student concerns effectively, recognizing students as representatives of the university. The feedback provided by the students plays a crucial role in maintaining the quality of education and positive reputation of the University. The observations and comments gathered from students during the current semester, Fall 2023, closely resemble those from the previous two semesters, Spring 2023 and Fall 2022.

At the end of every semester, comprehensive reports along with analysis of the preceding semester were sent to the Chairperson of all the departments. They are requested to submit a Compliance Report within 15 days after thoroughly reviewing the evaluation reports and student's feedback. The compliance profile of the previous semester is enclosed as Annex-I.

Recommendations of The Directorate of QA&C for the semester Spring 2023 are given below:

- 1.** Chairpersons are encouraged to improve communication with students, addressing the concerns they've raised in teacher feedback surveys, and strive to instill confidence by transparently discussing the actions taken, whenever feasible.
- 2.** Furthermore, Chairpersons are kindly requested to organize training sessions within their respective departments for faculty members, focusing on addressing student concerns regarding teaching styles, behavioral issues, and attitudes of teachers. Training sessions must also cover the basics i.e. personality grooming and maintaining class environment etc. It is also requested to keep the Directorate of QA&C informed by sending notifications and details regarding the content of these training sessions.

- 3.** Every chairperson must review the functionality of classroom projectors, laboratory apparatus, computers, and software to verify their proper operation. Any necessary enhancements should be implemented, and proper codal formalities must be followed accordingly.
- 4.** The Manager IT should give serious attention to students' concerns regarding internet connectivity and address them to the fullest extent possible, with approval from the competent authority. These issues have been persistently raised by students for the past two years. Furthermore, it is requested that the competent authority instruct the IT manager to submit a compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C for the completion of the CQI cycle.
- 5.** Chairpersons are kindly requested to submit the compliance report for the Fall 2023 semester, as well as the previous semester, Spring 2023, to the Directorate of QA&C within 15 days. This submission should follow a thorough analysis of the evaluation reports and student comments from both Spring 2023 and the current semester.

Overall Faculty Evaluation – BME

S. No.	Name	Subject	Program	Class	Study in Next Semester	Evaluation
1	Muhammad Ahtasham	Fundamentals of Electrical Engineering	BME	1st	4.79	4.69
2	Muhammad Ahtasham	Fundamentals of Electrical Engineering Lab	BME	1st	4.71	4.67
3	Sidra Arshad	Biomedical Signal Processing Lab	BME	5th	4.50	4.63
4	Riffat Kalsoom	Applied Physics Lab	BME	1st	4.32	4.59
5	Qasim Javed	Computing Fundamentals	BME	1st	4.71	4.58
6	Riffat Kalsoom	Applied Physics	BME	1st	4.25	4.55
7	Anoosh Latif	Biomedical Instrumentation I Lab	BME	5th	4.35	4.48
8	Anoosh Latif	Microprocessor and Interfacing	BME	5th	4.35	4.45
9	Fasih Ahmed	Computing Fundamentals Lab	BME	1st	3.79	4.39
10	Riffat Kalsoom	Basic Biology	BME	1st	4.32	4.37
11	M. Ahtasham	Basic Electronics	BME	3rd	4.55	4.35
12	Anoosh Latif	Basic Electronics Lab	BME	3rd	4.42	4.34
13	Dr. Nizam Uddin	Biomedical Signal Processing	BME	5th	3.95	4.34
14	Sidra Arshad	Physiology-II Lab	BME	3rd	4.24	4.24
15	Sidra Arshad	Biochemistry Lab	BME	3rd	4.24	4.22
16	Dr. Nizam Uddin	Introduction to Biomedical Engineering	BME	1st	3.71	4.15

17	Sidra Arshad	Biochemistry	BME	3rd	4.21	4.15
18	Sidra Arshad	Physiology-II	BME	3rd	4.09	4.10

Individual Faculty Members' Evaluation

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Muhammad Ahtasham / Fundamentals of Electrical Engineering**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.86
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.75
Teacher was punctual	4.86
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.89
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.79
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.29
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.61
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.82
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.54
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.86
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.43
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.64
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.79
Evaluation	4.69

4.86

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**M. Ahtasham / Fundamentals of Electrical Engineering Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.82
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.79
Teacher was punctual	4.82
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.89
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.75
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.32
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.50
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.79
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.50
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.82
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.39
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.64
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.71
Evaluation	4.67

4.86

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Sidra Arshad / Biomedical Signal Processing Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.65
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.70
Teacher was punctual	4.75
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.75
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.70
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.40
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.50
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.65
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.65
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.65
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.55
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.55
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.50
Evaluation	4.63

4.86

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Riffat Kalsoom / Applied Physics Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.68
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.54
Teacher was punctual	4.75
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.68
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.75
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.93
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.64
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.68
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.46
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.86
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.50
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.61
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.32
Evaluation	4.59

4.86

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Qasim Javed / Computing Fundamentals**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.79
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.68
Teacher was punctual	4.82
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.61
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.79
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.36
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.96
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.75
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.43
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.79
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.50
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.54
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.71
Evaluation	4.58

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Riffat Kalsoom / Applied Physics**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.50
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.43
Teacher was punctual	4.79
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.54
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.64
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.96
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.68
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.71
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.50
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.79
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.49
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.61
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.25
Evaluation	4.55

4.86

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Anoosh Latif / Biomedical Instrumentation I Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.55
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.50
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.45
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.45
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.40
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.50
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.60
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.50
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.35
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.40
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.35
Evaluation	4.48

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Anoosh Latif / Microprocessor and Interfacing**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.55
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.40
Teacher was punctual	4.65
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.45
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.45
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.20
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.45
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.45
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.50
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.35
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.45
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.35
Evaluation	4.45

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Fasih Ahmed / Computing Fundamentals Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.46
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.39
Teacher was punctual	4.57
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.29
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.50
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.18
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.04
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.61
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.39
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.68
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.29
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.29
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.79
Evaluation	4.39

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Riffat Kalsoom / Basic Biology**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.61
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.21
Teacher was punctual	4.57
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.54
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.36
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.00
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.39
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.46
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.29
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.46
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.36
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.14
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.32
Evaluation	4.37

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**M. Ahtasham / Basic Electronics**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.48
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.33
Teacher was punctual	4.55
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.45
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.61
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.21
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.06
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.27
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.03
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.42
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.42
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.33
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.55
Evaluation	4.35

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Anoosh Latif / Basic Electronics Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.33
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.36
Teacher was punctual	4.48
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.30
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.61
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.42
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.21
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.21
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.00
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.48
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.39
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.42
Evaluation	4.34

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Dr. Nizam Uddin / Biomedical Signal Processing**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.35
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.40
Teacher was punctual	4.65
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.35
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.35
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.05
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.05
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.40
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.45
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.25
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.25
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.95
Evaluation	4.34

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Sidra Arshad / Physiology-II Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.39
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.33
Teacher was punctual	4.30
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.21
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.39
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.18
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.15
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.27
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.97
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.33
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.18
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.18
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.24
Evaluation	4.24

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Sidra Arshad / Biochemistry Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.42
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.21
Teacher was punctual	4.30
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.21
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.45
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.12
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.12
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.24
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.97
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.33
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.15
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.15
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.24
Evaluation	4.22

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Dr. Nizam Uddin / Introduction to Biomedical Engineering**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.18
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.39
Teacher was punctual	4.32
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.07
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.32
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.93
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.43
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.54
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.14
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.32
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.00
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.21
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.71
Evaluation	4.15

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Sidra Arshad / Biochemistry**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.30
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.15
Teacher was punctual	4.21
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.12
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.30
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.97
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.12
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.24
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.97
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.27
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.12
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.06
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.21
Evaluation	4.15

4.36

BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**Sidra Arshad / Physiology-II**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.12
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.12
Teacher was punctual	4.21
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.09
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.21
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.00
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.12
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.21
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.85
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.20
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.97
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.00
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.09
Evaluation	4.10

4.86

DIRECTORATE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND COLLABORATIONS

DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING

STUDENT'S COMMENTS - FALL 2023

1st Semester

Electrical lab equipment are not correct. We feel difficulty to solve the circuits.

I didn't face any problem in this half session

But one is that timing of computer fundamentals should change because on Friday computer lab is on second time so it's very difficult for students living in hostels to go their home after 3.30 personally I belong to Malakand KPK. There are a lot of student like me so please change computer lab timing.

Make-up classes are the worst case scenario

Also we are burdened quizzes and assignments

We get very less time for break

Multimedia should be of good quality

Quizzes should be pre planned

Break interval should be more than half an hour at least

3rd Semester

IMS timing and Physio Teachers and Paper checking Teachers.

Inaccurate attendance, but upon discussion it was corrected. The matter was resolved.

I'm not satisfied by the teaching way of sir Arifullah. Sir Feroz in 2nd semester way of teaching was really satisfying

The projectors in classes are not properly maintained it's difficult to see clearly. In labs, most of the devices do not work in lab mids and finals we are facing difficulty due to a shortage of time and devices not functioning properly. Also, fix the Wi-Fi issue, the signals are very poor.

Faced so many problems in CVT

Library staff is very rude(the male staff)

The Coordinator of BME department shows disrespectful manner especially at the time of queries and don't pay attention

CVT subject needs much more explanation

5th Semester

Change of teachers during mid of semester

Technical faults in lab equipment.

The change of faculty during the semester was severely disturbing

Courses were not designed

Our biomedical department still isn't equipped with all the required equipment.
It would definitely impact the next PEC visit

We have been facing extreme biased behavior from one of our lab teacher Anoosh Latif. She favors only few 2-3 students of her choice and has been over friendly with them in her office. We have been observing this attitude from the start of 5th semester.



BS IoT

**Final Term Faculty Evaluation
Undergraduate Courses**

FALL 2023

Date: 05-04-2024

Chairperson Computer Engineering

Subject: **Final Term Faculty Evaluation Report – FALL 2023**

1. The Directorate of QA&C conducts online Final-Term Faculty's Evaluation Survey in each semester to evaluate faculty members and lab engineers of all the departments and score them accordingly.
2. The faculty evaluation of Undergraduate Programs for FALL Semester 2023 has been completed. The report along with analysis and recommendations has been approved by the Vice Chancellor.
3. The report of your department consists of the following information.
 - a. Analysis and Major Concerns of Students.
 - b. General Recommendations by the Directorate of QA&C.
 - c. Overall Department's Faculty Evaluation.
 - d. Individual faculty members' evaluation.
 - e. Students' comment (semester wise).
2. It is therefore requested that kindly examine the reports and take appropriate action and submit the compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C to complete the CQI cycle.

(Prof. Dr. Tahir Nadeem Malik)

Director QA&C

Analysis

S. No.	Dept.	Sem.	Theory Course	Lab Course	Analysis	
1	Computer Engineering	1st	2	3	3.00 - 3.49 = 0 FM = 0% 3.50 - 3.99 = 3 FM = 11% 4.00 - 4.49 = 10 FM = 36% 4.50 - 5.00 = 15 FM = 54%	
		3rd	3	2		
		5th	4	3		
		7th	5	3		
		Other Dept.	2	1		
		Total	16	12		
		Major Concerns of Students:				
		1. Lack of internet access throughout the department.				
		2. Multimedia projector functioning and quality issue.				
		3. Lab PC's performance issues.				
4. Frequent rearranged and unscheduled classes created disturbance in understanding the subject.						

General Recommendations

The primary objective of conducting teacher evaluations by students in each semester is to address the student concerns effectively, recognizing students as representatives of the university. The feedback provided by the students plays a crucial role in maintaining the quality of education and positive reputation of the University. The observations and comments gathered from students during the current semester, Fall 2023, closely resemble those from the previous two semesters, Spring 2023 and Fall 2022.

At the end of every semester, comprehensive reports along with analysis of the preceding semester were sent to the Chairperson of all the departments. They are requested to submit a Compliance Report within 15 days after thoroughly reviewing the evaluation reports and student's feedback. The compliance profile of the previous semester is enclosed as Annex-I.

Recommendations of The Directorate of QA&C for the semester Spring 2023 are given below:

- 1.** Chairpersons are encouraged to improve communication with students, addressing the concerns they've raised in teacher feedback surveys, and strive to instill confidence by transparently discussing the actions taken, whenever feasible.
- 2.** Furthermore, Chairpersons are kindly requested to organize training sessions within their respective departments for faculty members, focusing on addressing student concerns regarding teaching styles, behavioral issues, and attitudes of teachers. Training sessions must also cover the basics i.e. personality grooming and maintaining class environment etc. It is also requested to keep

the Directorate of QA&C informed by sending notifications and details regarding the content of these training sessions.

- 3.** Every chairperson must review the functionality of classroom projectors, laboratory apparatus, computers, and software to verify their proper operation. Any necessary enhancements should be implemented, and proper codal formalities must be followed accordingly.
- 4.** The Manager IT should give serious attention to students' concerns regarding internet connectivity and address them to the fullest extent possible, with approval from the competent authority. These issues have been persistently raised by students for the past two years. Furthermore, it is requested that the competent authority instruct the IT manager to submit a compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C for the completion of the CQI cycle.
- 5.** Chairpersons are kindly requested to submit the compliance report for the Fall 2023 semester, as well as the previous semester, Spring 2023, to the Directorate of QA&C within 15 days. This submission should follow a thorough analysis of the evaluation reports and student comments from both Spring 2023 and the current semester.

Overall Faculty Evaluation – IoT

S. No.	Name	Subject	Program	Class	Study in Next Semester	Evaluation
1	Hareem Khan	Applied Physics Lab	BS IoT	1st	4.90	4.67
2	Arshad Mehmood Khan	Translation of Quran: Worship	BS IoT	3rd	4.78	4.66
3	Qasim Javaid	Fundamentals of IoT	BS IoT	1st	4.80	4.65
4	Dr. Sobia	IoT Communication	BS IoT	3rd	4.67	4.63
5	Qasim Javaid	Applied Physics	BS IoT	1st	4.60	4.54
6	Kaynat Rana	Electric Circuit Analysis	BS IoT	1st	4.70	4.48
7	Sehrish Naqvi	English	BS IoT	1st	4.80	4.47
8	Jawwad Haseeb	Translation of Quran: Beliefs	BS IoT	1st	4.60	4.46
9	Saqib Ullah	Pakistan Studies	BS IoT	1st	4.50	4.41
10	Bushra Fiaz	Operating Systems Lab	BS IoT	3rd	4.33	4.41
11	Kaynat Rana	Object Oriented Programming	BS IoT	3rd	4.11	4.41
12	Dr. M. Irfan	Calculus & Analytical Geometry	BS IoT	1st	4.00	4.38
13	Sara Tehsin	Operating Systems	BS IoT	3rd	4.44	4.38
14	Fasih Ahmad	Electric Circuit Analysis Lab	BS IoT	1st	3.90	4.34
15	Sehrish Naqvi	Communication Skills	BS IoT	3rd	4.33	4.34
16	Hareem Khan	IoT Communication Lab	BS IoT	3rd	3.89	4.30
17	Hareem Khan	Applied Physics	BS IoT	3rd	4.11	4.25
18	Hareem Khan	Applied Physics Lab	BS IoT	3rd	4.00	4.21

19	Fasih Ahmad	Object Oriented Programming Lab	BS IoT	3rd	4.11	4.20
20	Dr. Yasir Mehsud	Differential Equations	BS IoT	3rd	4.22	4.16

Individual Faculty Members' Evaluation

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Hareem Khan / Applied Physics Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.80
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.70
Teacher was punctual	4.70
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.80
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.90
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.60
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.60
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.60
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.60
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.70
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.50
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.50
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.90
Evaluation	4.67

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Arshad Mehmood Khan / Translation of Quran: Worship**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.67
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.78
Teacher was punctual	4.78
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.33
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.78
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.78
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.67
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.67
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.67
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.33
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.67
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.78
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.78
Evaluation	4.66

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Qasim Javaid / Fundamentals of IoT**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.80
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.70
Teacher was punctual	4.70
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.90
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.80
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.60
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.70
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.60
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.50
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.60
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.40
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.50
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.80
Evaluation	4.65

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Dr. Sobia / IoT Communication**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.78
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.67
Teacher was punctual	4.56
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.44
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.78
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.78
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.67
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.56
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.67
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.22
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.67
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.78
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.67
Evaluation	4.63

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Qasim Javaid / Applied Physics**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.70
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.50
Teacher was punctual	4.50
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.80
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.60
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.50
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.60
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.40
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.60
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.60
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.40
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.30
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.60
Evaluation	4.54

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Kaynat Rana / Electric Circuit Analysis**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.70
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.50
Teacher was punctual	4.50
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.70
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.60
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.40
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.50
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.60
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.30
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.70
Evaluation	4.48

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Sehrish Naqvi / English**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.70
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.50
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.60
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.30
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.60
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.30
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.80
Evaluation	4.47

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Jawwad Haseeb / Translation of Quran: Beliefs**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.60
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.50
Teacher was punctual	4.50
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.60
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.30
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.50
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.40
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.40
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.60
Evaluation	4.46

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Saqib Ullah / Pakistan Studies**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.60
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.50
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.30
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.50
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.20
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.40
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.10
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.40
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.50
Evaluation	4.41

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Bushra Fiaz / Operating Systems Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.33
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.44
Teacher was punctual	4.33
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.22
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.67
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.44
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.67
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.33
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.44
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.00
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.44
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.56
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.33
Evaluation	4.41

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Kaynat Rana / Object Oriented Programming**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.11
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.56
Teacher was punctual	4.44
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.11
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.67
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.56
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.56
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.56
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.44
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.00
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.56
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.33
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.11
Evaluation	4.41

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Dr. M. Irfan / Calculus & Analytical Geometry**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.50
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.40
Teacher was punctual	4.50
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.30
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.40
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.40
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.20
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.00
Evaluation	4.38

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Sara Tehsin / Operating Systems**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.22
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.44
Teacher was punctual	4.56
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.11
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.56
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.56
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.67
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.22
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.33
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.11
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.33
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.44
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.44
Evaluation	4.38

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Fasih Ahmad / Electric Circuit Analysis Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.50
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.30
Teacher was punctual	4.40
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.50
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.30
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.20
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.40
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.20
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.90
Evaluation	4.34

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Sehrish Naqvi / Communication Skills**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.22
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.44
Teacher was punctual	4.56
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.22
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.67
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.00
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.33
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.33
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.33
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.11
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.44
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.44
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.33
Evaluation	4.34

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Hareem Khan / IoT Communication Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.44
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.33
Teacher was punctual	4.44
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.89
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.44
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.78
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.56
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.44
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.33
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.00
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.44
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.44
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.89
Evaluation	4.30

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Hareem Khan / Applied Physics**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.33
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.44
Teacher was punctual	4.22
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.22
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.56
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.89
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.44
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.44
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.00
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.11
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.33
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.00
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.11
Evaluation	4.25

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Hareem Khan / Applied Physics Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.33
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.33
Teacher was punctual	4.22
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.22
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.56
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.78
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.44
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.33
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.00
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.11
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.33
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.89
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.00
Evaluation	4.21

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Fasih Ahmad / Object Oriented Programming Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.78
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.44
Teacher was punctual	4.00
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.56
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.33
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.56
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.44
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.44
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.11
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.00
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.33
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.44
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.11
Evaluation	4.20

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING (BS IoT)**Dr. Yasir Mehsud / Differential Equations**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.00
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.22
Teacher was punctual	4.22
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.78
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.33
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.22
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.44
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.22
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.89
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.00
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.44
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.11
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.22
Evaluation	4.16

4.36



BS Mechanical Engineering
Final Term Faculty Evaluation
Undergraduate Courses
FALL 2023

Date: 05-04-2024

Chairperson Mechanical Engineering

Subject: **Final Term Faculty Evaluation Report – FALL 2023**

1. The Directorate of QA&C conducts online Final-Term Faculty's Evaluation Survey in each semester to evaluate faculty members and lab engineers of all the departments and score them accordingly.
2. The faculty evaluation of Undergraduate Programs for FALL Semester 2023 has been completed. The report along with analysis and recommendations has been approved by the Vice Chancellor.
3. The report of your department consists of the following information.
 - a. Analysis and Major Concerns of Students.
 - b. General Recommendations by the Directorate of QA&C.
 - c. Overall Department's Faculty Evaluation.
 - d. Individual faculty members' evaluation.
 - e. Students' comment (semester wise).
2. It is therefore requested that kindly examine the reports and take appropriate action and submit the compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C to complete the CQI cycle.

(Prof. Dr. Tahir Nadeem Malik)

Director QA&C

Analysis

S. No.	Dept.	Sem.	Theory Course	Lab Course	Analysis	
1	Mechanical Engineering	1st	4	1	3.00 - 3.49 = 0 FM = 0%	
		3rd	5	1	3.50 - 3.99 = 3 FM = 7%	
		5th	6	4	4.00 - 4.49 = 26 FM = 57%	
		7th	8	8	4.50 - 5.00 = 17 FM = 37%	
		Other Dept.	9	0		
		Total	32	14		
		Major Concerns of Students:				
		1. Lack of internet access throughout the department.				
		2. Multimedia projector functioning and quality issue.				
		3. Serious concerns of the students on the quality of Apparatus and equipment of IC Engine Lab.				
4. Students pointed out about the teaching style and attitude of Sir Imran Sajid.						

General Recommendations

The primary objective of conducting teacher evaluations by students in each semester is to address the student concerns effectively, recognizing students as representatives of the university. The feedback provided by the students plays a crucial role in maintaining the quality of education and positive reputation of the University. The observations and comments gathered from students during the current semester, Fall 2023, closely resemble those from the previous two semesters, Spring 2023 and Fall 2022.

At the end of every semester, comprehensive reports along with analysis of the preceding semester were sent to the Chairperson of all the departments. They are requested to submit a Compliance Report within 15 days after thoroughly reviewing the evaluation reports and student's feedback. The compliance profile of the previous semester is enclosed as Annex-I.

Recommendations of The Directorate of QA&C for the semester Spring 2023 are given below:

1. Chairpersons are encouraged to improve communication with students, addressing the concerns they've raised in teacher feedback surveys, and strive to instill confidence by transparently discussing the actions taken, whenever feasible.
2. Furthermore, Chairpersons are kindly requested to organize training sessions within their respective departments for faculty members, focusing on addressing student concerns regarding teaching styles, behavioral issues, and attitudes of teachers. Training sessions must also cover the basics i.e. personality grooming and maintaining class environment etc. It is also requested to keep

the Directorate of QA&C informed by sending notifications and details regarding the content of these training sessions.

- 3.** Every chairperson must review the functionality of classroom projectors, laboratory apparatus, computers, and software to verify their proper operation. Any necessary enhancements should be implemented, and proper codal formalities must be followed accordingly.
- 4.** The Manager IT should give serious attention to students' concerns regarding internet connectivity and address them to the fullest extent possible, with approval from the competent authority. These issues have been persistently raised by students for the past two years. Furthermore, it is requested that the competent authority instruct the IT manager to submit a compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C for the completion of the CQI cycle.
- 5.** Chairpersons are kindly requested to submit the compliance report for the Fall 2023 semester, as well as the previous semester, Spring 2023, to the Directorate of QA&C within 15 days. This submission should follow a thorough analysis of the evaluation reports and student comments from both Spring 2023 and the current semester.

Overall Faculty Evaluation – MED

S. No.	Name	Subject	Program	Class	Study in Next Semester	Evaluation
1	Ghulam Mubasher	English	MED	1st	4.89	4.88
2	Col. Abdul Aleem	Engineering Chemistry	MED	1st	4.84	4.86
3	Abdul Wahab	Workshop Technology	MED	1st	4.89	4.81
4	Dr. Farhan Ausaf	Engineering Dynamics	MED	3rd	4.87	4.80
5	Shahbaz Naqvi	Applied Engineering Physics Lab	MED	1st	4.58	4.76
6	Shahbaz Naqvi	Applied Engineering Physics	MED	1st	4.55	4.73
7	Adeel Akhter Shah	Technical Report Writing	MED (MATHS)	3rd	4.60	4.71
8	Syed Adeel Akhtar	Technical Report Writing	MED	3rd	4.72	4.70
9	Syed Adeel Akhtar	Technical Report Writing	MED (BME)	5th	4.65	4.68
10	Ghulam Mubashir	English	MED (SE)	1st (a)	4.65	4.64
11	Atiya Sadiq	Engineering Mechanics Lab	MED	3rd	4.40	4.62
12	Dr. S. Kamran Afaq	Heat & Mass Transfer	MED	5th (a,b,c)	4.72	4.62
13	Dr. Khalid Mehmood	Thermodynamics-I	MED	3rd (a,b)	4.44	4.60
14	Ghulam Mubashir	English	MED (ISD)	1st	4.40	4.60
15	Hammad Asghar	Fluid Mechanics Lab	MED	5th (a)	4.63	4.57
16	Dr. Liaqat Ali	Manufacturing Processes	MED	3rd	4.31	4.54
17	Dr. Fahad Sarfraz Butt	Fluid Mechanics-II	MED	5th (a,b,c)	4.67	4.54

18	Col. Abdul Aleem	Basic Electro-Mechanical Engineering	MED (CIVIL)	1st	4.43	4.49
19	Ghulam Mubashir	English	MED (CIVIL)	1st	4.50	4.49
20	Moeen Mahboob	Instrumentation & Control Systems Lab	MED	7th (c)	4.41	4.48
21	Dr. Tanveer Ahmad	Mechanics of Material-I	MED	3rd	4.04	4.47
22	Dr. Zarak Khan	Theory of Machines	MED	5th (a,b,c)	4.56	4.44
23	Dr. Luqman Ahmed	Design of Machine Elements-I	MED	5th (a,b,c)	4.51	4.43
24	Dr. Saad Arif	Control Systems	MED	7th (a,b)	4.49	4.36
25	Sardar Aneeq	Computer Aided Design	MED (CE)	3rd	4.14	4.33
26	Shahbaz Ali Naqvi	Economics	MED	7th (a,b,c,d)	4.20	4.30
27	Bilal Haider	I. C. Engines Lab	MED	7th (b,c,d)	4.28	4.30
28	Sardar Aneeq	Computer Aided Engineering Design	MED (BME)	3rd	4.24	4.28
29	Abdul Wahab	Numerical Methods Lab	MED	5th (a,b,c)	4.23	4.25
30	Moeen Mahboob	Control Systems	MED	7th (c,d)	4.10	4.25
31	Hammad Asghar	CAM Lab	MED	7th (a,b,c,d)	4.18	4.23
32	Mahad Shah	Instrumentation & Control Systems Lab	MED	7th (a,b)	4.07	4.19
33	Athar Hameed	I. C. Engines	MED	7th (a,b)	3.73	4.18
34	Yasir Hamid	Design of Machine Elements Lab	MED	5th (a,b,c)	3.87	4.16
35	Yasir Hamid	Fluid Mechanics-II	MED	5th (a,b,c)	3.88	4.15
36	Dr. Zahid Iqbal	I. C. Engines	MED	7th (c,d)	3.96	4.15

37	Dr. Zahid Iqbal	I.C. Engines (Tutorial)	MED	7th (b,c,d)	3.90	4.13
38	Sardar Aneeq	CAD Lab	MED	7th (c,d)	3.94	4.10
39	Ammar Akram	Instrumentation & Control Systems Lab	MED	7th (d)	4.09	4.06
40	Athar Hameed	I. C. Engines Lab	MED	7th (a)	3.75	4.04
41	Dr. Farhan Ausaf	I.C. Engines (Tutorial)	MED	7th (a)	3.98	4.04
42	Ammar Akram	Theory of Machines	MED	5th (a,b,c)	3.92	4.03
43	Imran Sajid	CAD Lab	MED	7th (a,b)	3.78	4.03
44	Imran Sajid	CAD/CAM	MED	7th (a,b,c,d)	3.73	3.99
45	Munazza Haq	Fluid Mechanics Lab	MED	5th (b,c)	3.48	3.96
46	Sardar Aneeq Khan	Engineering Drawing	MED (EED)	3rd	3.40	3.64

Individual Faculty Members' Evaluation

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Ghulam Mubasher / English

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.87
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.84
Teacher was punctual	4.95
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.87
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.95
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.92
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.84
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.89
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.71
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.92
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.89
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.92
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.89
Evaluation	4.88

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Col. Abdul Aleem / Engineering Chemistry

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.82
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.92
Teacher was punctual	4.97
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.95
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.89
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.76
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.92
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.84
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.76
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.92
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.84
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.68
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.84
Evaluation	4.86

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**Abdul Wahab / Workshop Technology**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.84
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.79
Teacher was punctual	4.87
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.82
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.84
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.92
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.63
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.84
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.63
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.87
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.87
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.79
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.89
Evaluation	4.81

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Farhan Ausaf / Engineering Dynamics

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.84
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.80
Teacher was punctual	4.85
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.84
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.84
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.81
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.76
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.81
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.64
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.80
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.71
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.84
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.87
Evaluation	4.80

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Shahbaz Naqvi / Applied Engineering Physics Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.74
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.79
Teacher was punctual	4.76
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.79
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.84
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.74
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.84
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.76
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.50
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.89
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.71
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.76
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.58
Evaluation	4.76

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Shahbaz Naqvi / Applied Engineering Physics

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.71
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.71
Teacher was punctual	4.82
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.82
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.79
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.71
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.58
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.71
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.61
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.74
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.66
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.87
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.55
Evaluation	4.73

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (MATHS)

Adeel Akhter Shah / Technical Report Writing

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.80
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.70
Teacher was punctual	4.70
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.70
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.70
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.70
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.70
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.70
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.70
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.70
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.70
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.70
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.60
Evaluation	4.71

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Syed Adeel Akhtar / Technical Report Writing

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.77
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.67
Teacher was punctual	4.77
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.76
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.76
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.64
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.65
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.73
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.55
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.69
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.67
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.72
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.72
Evaluation	4.70

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (BME)

Syed Adeel Akhtar / Technical Report Writing

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.75
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.75
Teacher was punctual	4.75
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.75
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.75
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.65
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.65
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.65
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.65
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.65
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.50
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.65
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.65
Evaluation	4.68

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**Ghulam Mubashir / English**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.67
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.69
Teacher was punctual	4.63
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.63
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.67
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.59
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.61
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.63
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.63
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.67
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.57
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.71
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.65
Evaluation	4.64

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Atiya Sadiq / Engineering Mechanics Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.65
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.61
Teacher was punctual	4.67
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.60
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.65
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.64
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.64
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.47
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.67
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.61
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.57
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.40
Evaluation	4.62

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. S. Kamran Afaq / Heat & Mass Transfer

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.73
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.68
Teacher was punctual	4.74
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.72
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.76
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.58
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.62
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.52
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.45
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.58
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.47
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.58
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.72
Evaluation	4.62

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Khalid Mehmood / Thermodynamics-I

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.63
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.59
Teacher was punctual	4.68
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.63
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.48
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.57
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.68
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.67
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.45
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.68
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.64
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.53
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.44
Evaluation	4.60

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (ISD)**Ghulam Mubashir / English**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.60
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.60
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.60
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.60
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.60
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.60
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.60
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.60
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.60
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.60
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.40
Evaluation	4.60

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Hammad Asghar / Fluid Mechanics Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.66
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.69
Teacher was punctual	4.72
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.66
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.78
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.66
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.44
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.66
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.34
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.56
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.25
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.41
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.63
Evaluation	4.57

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Liaqat Ali / Manufacturing Processes

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.53
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.57
Teacher was punctual	4.59
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.39
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.44
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.63
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.59
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.57
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.39
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.63
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.59
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.52
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.31
Evaluation	4.54

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Fahad Sarfraz Butt / Fluid Mechanics-II

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.65
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.58
Teacher was punctual	4.65
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.63
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.58
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.49
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.42
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.55
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.40
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.52
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.45
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.55
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.67
Evaluation	4.54

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (CIVIL)

Col. Abdul Aleem / Basic Electro-Mechanical Engineering

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.57
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.57
Teacher was punctual	4.70
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.40
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.53
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.37
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.57
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.53
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.33
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.43
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.40
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.43
Evaluation	4.49

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (CIVIL)**Ghulam Mubashir / English**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.60
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.53
Teacher was punctual	4.70
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.57
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.30
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.63
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.47
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.23
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.40
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.40
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.50
Evaluation	4.49

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Moeen Mahboob / Instrumentation & Control Systems Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.46
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.46
Teacher was punctual	4.43
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.43
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.54
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.51
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.43
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.51
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.49
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.46
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.54
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.51
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.41
Evaluation	4.48

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Tanveer Ahmad / Mechanics of Material-I

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.35
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.43
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.41
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.45
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.29
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.60
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.56
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.40
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.59
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.45
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.51
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.04
Evaluation	4.47

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Zarak Khan / Theory of Machines

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.51
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.55
Teacher was punctual	4.49
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.40
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.47
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.51
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.31
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.40
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.32
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.46
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.38
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.45
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.56
Evaluation	4.44

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Luqman Ahmed / Design of Machine Elements-I

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.41
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.42
Teacher was punctual	4.55
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.55
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.51
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.34
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.47
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.34
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.44
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.33
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.45
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.51
Evaluation	4.43

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Saad Arif / Control Systems

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.54
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.48
Teacher was punctual	4.42
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.47
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.42
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.32
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.20
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.36
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.16
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.46
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.23
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.25
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.49
Evaluation	4.36

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (CE)

Sardar Aneeq / Computer Aided Design

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.14
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.32
Teacher was punctual	4.36
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.36
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.39
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.43
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.46
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.43
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.21
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.29
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.25
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.29
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.14
Evaluation	4.33

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Shahbaz Ali Naqvi / Economics

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.32
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.30
Teacher was punctual	4.38
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.27
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.45
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.27
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.20
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.32
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.16
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.30
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.20
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.43
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.20
Evaluation	4.30

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Bilal Haider / I. C. Engines Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.37
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.33
Teacher was punctual	4.40
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.31
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.37
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.25
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.25
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.31
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.20
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.24
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.29
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.28
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.28
Evaluation	4.30

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (BME)

Sardar Aneeq / Computer Aided Engineering Design

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.42
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.24
Teacher was punctual	4.48
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.27
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.48
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.30
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.21
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.12
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.97
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.30
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.24
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.33
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.24
Evaluation	4.28

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Abdul Wahab / Numerical Methods Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.25
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.34
Teacher was punctual	4.32
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.31
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.27
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.13
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.28
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.26
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.18
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.30
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.14
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.25
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.23
Evaluation	4.25

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Moeen Mahboob / Control Systems

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.20
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.29
Teacher was punctual	4.25
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.18
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.30
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.31
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.22
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.31
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.18
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.23
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.24
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.28
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.10
Evaluation	4.25

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Hammad Asghar / CAM Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.34
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.30
Teacher was punctual	4.41
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.30
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.27
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.20
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.20
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.21
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.05
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.23
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.15
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.15
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.18
Evaluation	4.23

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Mahad Shah / Instrumentation & Control Systems Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.17
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.27
Teacher was punctual	4.25
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.11
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.28
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.17
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.12
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.17
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.06
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.26
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.07
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.28
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.07
Evaluation	4.19

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Athar Hameed / I. C. Engines

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.17
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.30
Teacher was punctual	4.49
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.09
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.07
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.21
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.12
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.32
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.00
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.25
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.09
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.07
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.73
Evaluation	4.18

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Yasir Hamid / Design of Machine Elements Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.12
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.14
Teacher was punctual	4.34
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.24
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.09
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.09
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.15
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.22
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.10
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.29
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.01
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.16
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.87
Evaluation	4.16

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Yasir Hamid / Fluid Mechanics-II

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.09
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.15
Teacher was punctual	4.30
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.25
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.05
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.08
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.13
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.24
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.11
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.26
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.03
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.17
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.88
Evaluation	4.15

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Zahid Iqbal / I. C. Engines

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.08
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.16
Teacher was punctual	4.33
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.07
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.10
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.24
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.18
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.20
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.06
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.12
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.16
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.08
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.96
Evaluation	4.15

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Zahid Iqbal / I.C. Engines (Tutorial)

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.09
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.11
Teacher was punctual	4.18
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.13
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.12
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.17
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.21
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.19
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.08
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.15
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.12
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.07
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.90
Evaluation	4.13

4.36

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Sardar Aneeq / CAD Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.00
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.20
Teacher was punctual	4.20
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.07
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.01
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.13
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.11
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.14
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.01
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.10
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.06
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.11
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.94
Evaluation	4.10

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Ammar Akram / Instrumentation & Control Systems Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.13
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.11
Teacher was punctual	4.04
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.00
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.11
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.09
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.13
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.04
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.93
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.04
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.00
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.07
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.09
Evaluation	4.06

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Athar Hameed / I. C. Engines Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.04
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.21
Teacher was punctual	4.40
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.96
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.98
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.13
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.96
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.23
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.79
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.00
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.81
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.02
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.75
Evaluation	4.04

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Farhan Ausaf / I.C. Engines (Tutorial)

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.13
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.29
Teacher was punctual	4.04
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.04
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.96
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.00
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.96
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.25
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.83
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.15
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.90
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.96
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.98
Evaluation	4.04

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Ammar Akram / Theory of Machines

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.98
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.98
Teacher was punctual	3.95
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.95
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.03
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.08
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.02
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.11
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.08
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.15
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.97
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.14
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.92
Evaluation	4.03

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**Imran Sajid / CAD Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.02
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.07
Teacher was punctual	4.26
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.10
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.02
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.99
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.89
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.02
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.90
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.09
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.91
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.04
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.78
Evaluation	4.03

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**Imran Sajid / CAD/CAM Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.91
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.03
Teacher was punctual	4.04
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.88
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.00
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.00
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.94
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.07
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.88
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.03
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.99
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.05
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.73
Evaluation	3.99

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Munazza Haq / Fluid Mechanics Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.92
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.97
Teacher was punctual	4.03
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.90
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.00
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.85
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.03
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	3.98
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.87
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	3.97
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.02
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.93
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.48
Evaluation	3.96

4.86

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (EED)

Sardar Aneeq Khan / Engineering Drawing

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.10
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.40
Teacher was punctual	3.90
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.90
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.70
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	2.90
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.10
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	3.40
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.50
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	3.80
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.00
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.00
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.40
Evaluation	3.64

4.86

DIRECTORATE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND COLLABORATIONS

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

STUDENTS' COMMENTS - FALL 2023

1st Semester

In Applied Engineering Physic lab the lack of instrument, communication, personal attention.

Can't learnt centriod topic clearly
Due to unsatisfied teaching. (Physics)
And the whole paper was from this portion.

My request to all teacher is upload lecture slide as early as possible on google classroom or you can upload just after conveying the lecture.

3rd Semester

Problem is that university doesn't consider student problems they only know is that student has fill there form or not they don't take action on student problems or queries.

The projector of me-05 is not working well

The internet condition is worst in university departments.

Admit card should be available on web page.

I have faced to much problem during enrollment of semester program. Kindly solve this problem.

May upgrade class's design and labs performance process.

Internet does not work in our department.

Internet not working in department.

Internet speed is very slow.

5th Semester

Merely satisfy because computer are slow in the labs

In labs the computers are very slow, that will affect our study and cause a lot of problems.

Lab computers are not working and many computers are damaged which is not letting us learn software's well

Frequent Internet disconnection of university

Yes. They don't upload attendance. Only few teachers upload regularly.

Lab computer are not working good
Internet service is very poor in University.
In FM lab students are hardly allowed to touch apparatus, lab attendant do all the work whereas in mids and finals we have to perform by ourselves and furthermore also have openhanded lab...so it's kind of mixed learning experience....needs to be improved
There should be projector in labs that teachers explain lab manual by presenting slides that include practical application and more knowledge about the topic.
There is no projectors in MED labs. Many difficulties faced Teachers and students during lecture. Kindly install projector in labs.
Lab should also have "projectors" in FM lab sir Hammad wanted us to see visuals and we have to arrange a class specially to see the slides and visual.
Stools in lab could be a bit more comfortable as they're really uncomfortable and annoying when taking the lecture
We are facing a problem in FM Lab during lecture because the lab doesn't have a projector. We facing many problems during lecture. Kindly provide a projector in FM Lab so the students can take lecture easily.
Need a projector in FM lab.
All classes must be arranged before break to have some physical activities.
In labs computers are not working some machines are not working Teachers papers late check karty hain aur bilkul achi marking nhi karty itny kam number diya mujhae aur favouritism bhi karty hain bht sae larko ke sth Jo kae bht ghalat baat hai aur teachers semester kae start mae boltay hain apko study trip par lae kat jay gae likin end par lae kar nhi jatay aur bhanny bana detay hain allah kae bando khuch to kauf karo lae kar nhi jana hota to boltay kyu ho jhootay log sach bola karo aur teachers ajeeb hain classes letay hi nhi hain aur jab leni nhi hoti to eik din phlae bataya karain roz subha sardi mae utho tyar ho university ao to agy pata chltta class hi nhi honi hai yeah ajeeb tamasha hai jab nhi leni hoti to phlae bataya karo subha msg atta hai class nhi honi sir Yasir Hamid har class wly din aesy hi karty haun subha lab mae jao to msg atta lab cancel yeah kaha ka insaaf hai eik to subha sardi mae utho tyar ho phr itni dur sae ao university aur uskae bad itna upar seriyan char kae jao to msg atta kae lab cancel koi tareeka hota hai khud ko kambal mae ghuss kar heater kae pass bhait kar msg kar detay hain bacho ko zaleel kiya hua hai
We are currently living in Jinnah hostel and the internet connection is very weak, we cannot complete our assignments and other works due to this problem, kindly solve this permanently we are so tired to go again and again in the office complaining about it.
Projectors should be installed in labs so that teacher could elaborate the experiments more efficiently.

Need projector in FM lab.
Some teachers doesn't pay attention to all the students which are there for learning and don't know by themselves how to guide students.
Kindly replace the steel stools in lab with soft stools 3 ghnty lab ma baithna hota.
They waste our time by making us standing and they solve the issue very slowly In our mid exams they gave me the wrong duplicate admit card and also wasted sufficient amount of time of my paper
It's difficult for us to sit on the stools in the labs
Sir Abdul Wahab and Ammar Akram unsatisfied

7th Semester
Some Teachers not Only Discourage the Students Involve in Co-Curricular Activities but Also Taunt on them during class In Front of all Class Members
There is no proper engine equipment in IC engine Lab and repair the Control system lab equipment
CAD lab teacher of section A is fast to cover syllabus, not providing detail lecture of lab, not properly listening student quires during lab and going speedily.
None of the apparatus is functioning in the I.C. engine lab. The teacher provides outdated readings for lab reports. In the midterm exam for IC engines, all the questions are based on IC engine theory instead of the IC engine lab, except for 1 or 2 questions.
The apparatus in IC lab was not working till now. Heaters not working in classes. In CAM lab, there is not enough time to perform the experiments and only lab attendant performs the experiments and students can't understand it clearly
Lab equipment not working and teachers not complete all lab equipment mostly waste time they are not giving the knowledge the just passing the time of semester.
Internet is Bullshit, communication in this University is worst. IC Engines teacher doesn't explain technical examples, IC lab has no working components and apparatuses and the paper was 100% theory, No university has this kind of Lab Facilities.
IC-engine teacher doesn't teach us like section C&D teacher and its lab is worse than I thought (there are no equipment).
IC engine lab equipment are still not repaired. We didn't perform any single experiment in this lab
Totally gender discrimination (Females have clear edge in talk , marks etc.) , teachers do personal grudges with students and sometimes rude behavior and rude comments (like dafa hojao class sa etc etc this was the basic)

<p>This semester was not without problems. The apparatus in the IC engine lab is not working. On the basis of the available old data, we submit lab reports.</p>
<p>During the Instrumentation and Control System lab, all 8 experiments were given together.</p>
<p>Our faculty don't know how to teach very pathetic experience there is no proper usage of modern teaching techniques old methods, labs are not equipped and teachers also don't know much about lab and theory also they just covering the course</p>
<p>Sir Imran Sajid Ghumman is wasting our as well as universities time. Please replace that teacher</p>
<p>Mostly lab apparatuses don't work.</p>
<p>In CAD lab we are using Solid Works Teacher speed is so fast as I think teacher thinks that all they are sitting here are already professional</p>
<p>Labs need to improve equipment specially. Computers lab and IC engine lab</p>
<p>The pc in the CAD lab aren't working properly and are really slow</p>
<p>Sir Imran Sajid forbids us to take notes in his class which has led to a horrible overall result. It is simply impossible to keep every point taught in class in our minds. That is why we take notes but without notes we cannot revise and that leads to poor performance in exams. He has a very high level of attitude. He forces us to sit in class no matter what. We aren't allowed to leave for calls, washroom, ill health or anything at all. We aren't kids he shouldn't treat us like toddlers going to preschool. Once there was a person who was sick n had to puke but he wouldn't allow him to leave instead he scolded him and another person who was defending him. So kindly fix this teacher. Tyvm</p>
<p>Internet issue in hostel</p>
<p>Too many projects and presentations considering we have a fyp</p>
<p>Sir. Imran Sajid is not cooperative</p>
<p>We are in mid semester so how it is possible to fill this form by now when our mid result is pending.</p>
<p>Nothing but unnecessarily got out of the class and nothing gain outside</p>
<p>CNC is not fully operating. IC engine lab has so much problem.</p>



BS Software Engineering
Final Term Faculty Evaluation
Undergraduate Courses
FALL 2023

Date: 05-04-2024

Chairperson Software Engineering

Subject: **Final Term Faculty Evaluation Report – FALL 2023**

1. The Directorate of QA&C conducts online Final-Term Faculty's Evaluation Survey in each semester to evaluate faculty members and lab engineers of all the departments and score them accordingly.
2. The faculty evaluation of Undergraduate Programs for FALL Semester 2023 has been completed. The report along with analysis and recommendations has been approved by the Vice Chancellor.
3. The report of your department consists of the following information.
 - a. Analysis and Major Concerns of Students.
 - b. General Recommendations by the Directorate of QA&C.
 - c. Overall Department's Faculty Evaluation.
 - d. Individual faculty members' evaluation.
 - e. Students' comment (semester wise).
2. It is therefore requested that kindly examine the reports and take appropriate action and submit the compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C to complete the CQI cycle.

(Prof. Dr. Tahir Nadeem Malik)

Director QA&C

Analysis

S. No.	Dept.	Sem.	Theory Course	Lab Course	Analysis	
1	Software Engineering	1st	2	3	3.00 - 3.49 = 0 FM = 0%	
		3rd	4	2	3.50 - 3.99 = 1 FM = 4%	
		5th	4	1	4.00 - 4.49 = 19 FM = 73%	
		7th	6	2	4.50 - 5.00 = 6 FM = 23%	
		Other Dept.	1	1		
		Total	17	9		
		Major Concerns of Students:				
		1. Lack of internet access throughout the department.				
		2. Multimedia projector functioning and quality issue.				
		3. PC performance issues.				
4. Multiple teachers changed in TRW course due to which students faced difficulty in understanding the course.						
5. Frequent rearranged and unscheduled classes created disturbance in understanding the subject.						
6. Students encounter problems with the software and equipment they need to use for their classes.						
7. Dissatisfaction of students with teaching style of Lecturer Mr. Junaid Ali Khan.						

General Recommendations

The primary objective of conducting teacher evaluations by students in each semester is to address the student concerns effectively, recognizing students as representatives of the university. The feedback provided by the students plays a crucial role in maintaining the quality of education and positive reputation of the University. The observations and comments gathered from students during the current semester, Fall 2023, closely resemble those from the previous two semesters, Spring 2023 and Fall 2022.

At the end of every semester, comprehensive reports along with analysis of the preceding semester were sent to the Chairperson of all the departments. They are requested to submit a Compliance Report within 15 days after thoroughly reviewing the evaluation reports and student's feedback. The compliance profile of the previous semester is enclosed as Annex-I.

Recommendations of The Directorate of QA&C for the semester Spring 2023 are given below:

- 1.** Chairpersons are encouraged to improve communication with students, addressing the concerns they've raised in teacher feedback surveys, and strive to instill confidence by transparently discussing the actions taken, whenever feasible.
- 2.** Furthermore, Chairpersons are kindly requested to organize training sessions within their respective departments for faculty members, focusing on addressing student concerns regarding teaching styles, behavioral issues, and attitudes of teachers. Training sessions must also cover the basics i.e. personality grooming and maintaining class environment etc. It is also requested to keep the Directorate of QA&C informed by sending notifications and details regarding the content of these training sessions.
- 3.** Every chairperson must review the functionality of classroom projectors, laboratory apparatus, computers, and software to verify their proper operation. Any necessary enhancements should be implemented, and proper codal formalities must be followed accordingly.
- 4.** The Manager IT should give serious attention to students' concerns regarding internet connectivity and address them to the fullest extent possible, with approval from the competent authority. These issues have been persistently raised by students for the past two years. Furthermore, it is requested that the competent authority instruct the IT manager to submit a compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C for the completion of the CQI cycle.
- 5.** Chairpersons are kindly requested to submit the compliance report for the Fall 2023 semester, as well as the previous semester, Spring 2023, to the Directorate of QA&C within 15 days. This submission should follow a thorough analysis of the evaluation reports and student comments from both Spring 2023 and the current semester.

Overall Faculty Evaluation – SE

S. No.	Name	Subject	Program	Class	Study in Next Semester	Evaluation
1	Ambar Urooj	Intro to Information & Communication Technology Lab	SE	1st (a)	4.65	4.63
2	Hina Gillani	Programming Fundamentals Lab	SE	1st	4.61	4.60
3	Irfan Haider	Natural Language Processing Lab	SE	7th (a,b)	4.58	4.55
4	Ambar Urooj	Intro to Information & Communication Technology	SE	1st	4.47	4.52
5	Dr. Fadia Ali Khan	Programming Fundamentals	SE (CybSec)	1st	4.62	4.52
6	Dr. Saima Shaheen	Software Project Management	SE	7th (a)	4.57	4.51
7	Adnan Shahzad	Intro to Information & Communication Technology Lab	SE	1st (b)	4.43	4.49
8	Irfan Haider	Game Development Lab	SE	7th (a,b)	4.55	4.49
9	Dr. Saima Shaheen	Programming Fundamentals	SE	1st	4.22	4.47
10	Abdul Samad	Game Development	SE	7th (a,b)	4.50	4.47
11	Junaid Ali Khan	Human Computer Interaction Lab	SE	3rd (a,b)	4.54	4.46
12	Farwah Aizaz	Software Project Management	SE	7th (b)	4.66	4.45
13	Fatima Rauf	Programming Fundamentals Lab	SE (CybSec)	1st	4.43	4.43
14	Veena Dillshad	Human Computer Interaction	SE	3rd (a,b)	4.29	4.42
15	Yousra Zafar	Software Construction and Development	SE	5th (a,b)	4.36	4.42
16	Dr. Fadia Ali Khan	Software Re-Engineering	SE	7th (a,b)	4.40	4.42

17	Yousra Zafar	Software Construction and Development Lab	SE	5th (a,b)	4.35	4.41
18	Muhammad Khalid	Natural Language Processing	SE	7th (a,b)	4.40	4.38
19	Hina Gillani	Data Structures and Algorithms Lab	SE	3rd (a,b)	4.29	4.36
20	Faiza Jahangir	Software Requirement Engineering	SE	3rd (a)	4.32	4.34
21	Yousra Zafar	Technical report writing	SE	5th (a,b)	4.23	4.33
22	Farwah Aizaz	Business process Engineering	SE	5th (a,b)	4.07	4.32
23	Waqar Ismail	Health Safety Environment	SE	7th (a,b)	4.27	4.29
24	Hira Khalid	Software Requirement Engineering	SE	3rd (b)	4.13	4.25
25	Hira Khalid	Data Structures and Algorithms	SE	3rd (a,b)	3.95	4.24
26	Junaid Ali Khan	Formal Methods in SE	SE	5th (a,b)	3.65	3.93

Individual Faculty Members' Evaluation

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

**Ambar Urooj / Intro to Information & Communication
Technology Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.67
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.61
Teacher was punctual	4.76
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.65
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.59
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.67
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.65
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.63
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.55
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.73
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.51
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.55
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.65
Evaluation	4.63

4.86

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Hina Gillani / Programming Fundamentals Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.71
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.60
Teacher was punctual	4.69
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.61
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.65
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.59
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.55
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.60
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.49
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.64
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.47
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.59
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.61
Evaluation	4.60

4.86

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Irfan Haider / Natural Language Processing Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.60
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.48
Teacher was punctual	4.72
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.52
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.58
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.47
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.47
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.60
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.53
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.45
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.65
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.58
Evaluation	4.55

4.86

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

**Ambar Urooj / Intro to Information & Communication
Technology**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.55
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.52
Teacher was punctual	4.70
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.50
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.54
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.49
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.54
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.52
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.38
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.59
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.40
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.45
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.47
Evaluation	4.52

4.86

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING (CybSec)**Dr. Fadia Ali Khan / Programming Fundamentals**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.64
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.57
Teacher was punctual	4.72
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.62
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.52
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.33
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.53
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.53
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.14
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.60
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.48
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.57
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.62
Evaluation	4.52

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Dr. Saima Shaheen / Software Project Management

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.61
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.54
Teacher was punctual	4.64
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.68
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.46
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.54
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.29
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.54
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.39
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.43
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.50
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.54
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.57
Evaluation	4.51

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING**Adnan Shahzad / Intro to Information & Communication
Technology Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.59
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.53
Teacher was punctual	4.65
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.56
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.54
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.50
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.43
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.50
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.26
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.38
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.41
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.43
Evaluation	4.49

4.86

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING**Irfan Haider / Game Development Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.55
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.45
Teacher was punctual	4.65
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.48
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.53
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.40
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.52
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.47
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.45
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.60
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.55
Evaluation	4.49

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING**Dr. Saima Shaheen / Programming Fundamentals**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.39
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.43
Teacher was punctual	4.69
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.39
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.54
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.40
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.50
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.55
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.39
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.34
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.46
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.22
Evaluation	4.47

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Abdul Samad / Game Development

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.43
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.43
Teacher was punctual	4.58
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.47
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.48
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.38
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.35
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.50
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.45
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.42
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.52
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.60
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.50
Evaluation	4.47

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Junaid Ali Khan / Human Computer Interaction Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.59
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.51
Teacher was punctual	4.53
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.49
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.46
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.47
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.21
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.49
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.37
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.46
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.45
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.51
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.54
Evaluation	4.46

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Farwah Aizaz / Software Project Management

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.47
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.47
Teacher was punctual	4.53
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.41
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.47
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.22
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.41
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.59
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.41
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.44
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.50
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.53
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.66
Evaluation	4.45

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING (CybSec)**Fatima Rauf / Programming Fundamentals Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.48
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.55
Teacher was punctual	4.67
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.48
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.52
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.28
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.28
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.41
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.07
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.55
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.40
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.41
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.43
Evaluation	4.43

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Veena Dillshad / Human Computer Interaction

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.46
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.45
Teacher was punctual	4.51
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.45
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.47
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.37
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.27
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.44
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.31
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.49
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.37
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.40
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.29
Evaluation	4.42

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Yousra Zafar / Software Construction and Development

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.42
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.41
Teacher was punctual	4.54
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.39
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.47
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.53
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.35
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.45
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.24
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.43
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.43
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.38
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.36
Evaluation	4.42

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Dr. Fadia Ali Khan / Software Re-Engineering

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.40
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.40
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.35
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.47
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.32
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.37
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.50
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.37
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.43
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.58
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.40
Evaluation	4.42

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Yousra Zafar / Software Construction and Development Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.39
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.39
Teacher was punctual	4.58
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.36
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.42
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.49
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.45
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.24
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.43
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.46
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.36
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.35
Evaluation	4.41

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING**Muhammad Khalid / Natural Language Processing**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.42
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.45
Teacher was punctual	4.63
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.40
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.37
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.27
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.25
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.42
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.23
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.32
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.37
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.38
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.40
Evaluation	4.38

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Hina Gillani / Data Structures and Algorithms Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.33
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.41
Teacher was punctual	4.54
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.26
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.36
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.37
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.22
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.47
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.23
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.46
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.36
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.36
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.29
Evaluation	4.36

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Faiza Jahangir / Software Requirement Engineering

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.26
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.32
Teacher was punctual	4.47
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.24
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.34
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.37
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.24
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.47
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.26
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.42
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.34
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.37
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.32
Evaluation	4.34

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING**Yousra Zafar / Technical Report Writing**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.28
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.34
Teacher was punctual	4.45
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.28
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.39
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.38
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.31
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.39
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.20
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.32
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.34
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.32
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.23
Evaluation	4.33

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING**Farwah Aizaz / Business process Engineering**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.22
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.34
Teacher was punctual	4.45
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.31
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.30
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.32
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.27
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.18
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.39
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.38
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.31
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.07
Evaluation	4.32

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Waqar Ismail / Health Safety Environment

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.17
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.30
Teacher was punctual	4.47
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.33
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.33
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.20
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.18
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.33
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.23
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.33
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.27
Evaluation	4.29

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Hira Khalid / Software Requirement Engineering

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.25
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.35
Teacher was punctual	4.25
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.20
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.33
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.15
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.23
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.35
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.13
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.25
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.25
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.23
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.13
Evaluation	4.25

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Hira Khalid / Data Structures and Algorithms

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.18
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.31
Teacher was punctual	4.38
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.15
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.27
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.18
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.13
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.40
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.13
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.27
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.28
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.23
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.95
Evaluation	4.24

4.36

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING**Junaid Ali Khan / Formal Methods in SE**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.73
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.78
Teacher was punctual	4.12
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.77
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.01
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.00
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.08
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.08
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.86
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	3.73
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.88
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.05
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.65
Evaluation	3.93

4.86

DIRECTORATE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND COLLABORATIONS
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE (SOFTWARE ENGINEERING)
STUDENTS' COMMENTS - FALL 2023
1st Semester
In physics labs most of DMMs and wires are not working.
It's not a complain (more of an observation) but changing of IICT-Lab teachers clearly effected the pace.
Ma'am Ambar Urooj didn't have enough time to deep dive into some topics.
Sitting in the Labs is not allowed outside Lab Timings. I request that we should be allowed to sit in the labs in our free time to do Lab Assignments.
3rd Semester
Girls common room issue
Slow internet
Probably the projector don't work properly
PC not working well
We do not have fast internet
The students have no authority. The DSA is corrupt and they eat up all the findings. The roads are awful. Students slip on roads, because of the gravel that has been spilled everywhere instead of fixing the potholes.
Very disappointed. A student who has lost their university id card, who also provides FIR for lost wallet still has to pay 3000 fine. That very unfair.
Very dissatisfied. They are just straight up awful people. And their only job is to impose fines and not help anyone.
We all know that the university is getting very high speed internet, but y'all are slowing it down on purpose
We never get our papers back.
5th Semester
There should be one teacher for the respected subject. In this semester , our teacher has changed thrice time that cause inconsistency of syllabus and we are unable to understand it

Teacher rearrange the classes which becomes hectic for students. Another problem is Wi-Fi access.
Issue of Rearranged classes
Teachers rearrange the classes too much causing burden
I've expressed my concerns in the previous evaluation, and I find it necessary to reiterate my dissatisfaction in this assessment as well. The HEC course outline boasts a comprehensive coverage, emphasizing practical aspects in Software Construction and Development. However, I am deeply aggrieved by the apparent lack of relevance of theory in this course. It's baffling that we dedicated eight weeks to theory only to find a mere 10-mark question on the exams.
To add insult to injury, the exams heavily weigh on coding, accounting for 50 marks, which feels unjustified given the insufficient explanation provided by the subject teacher. The argument that labs are student-led, with minimal demonstrations, leaves much to be desired. If the expectation is for us to learn independently, explore technologies on our own without proper guidance, I might as well resort to YouTube tutorials.
Furthermore, the constant change of TRW course teachers on three separate occasions raises serious questions about the institutional stability and commitment to its students. It leaves me wondering if we are genuinely considered students in a reputable institution like HITEC. The evident lack of consistency and support suggests otherwise.
In lab some computers are not work
Hostel internet does not work properly
Internet and PC's technical issues sometime
Yes, poor management for sports...ground is engaged for outsiders and the students from university could not play.
Internet issue
Majority of our class is dissatisfied by the teaching methodology of Sir Junaid Ali Khan Jnr.
This semester our classes were always rescheduled and now my attendance is low
A major problem this semester was the switching of teachers which meddled with the understanding of our course. We would have to adapt to the new style of teaching and concepts making it difficult to perform well in our mids

Overall this semester was hard, due to no proper faculty or teacher given to us especially in our course TRW and BPE (right before mids) and also the course FMSE where we mostly just learnt about Old Discrete or What is a research paper. Our teachers are really skilled and intelligent Masha 'Allah! But the courses in this semester other than DCCN and SC&D, I did not get to learn something new like I did in previous semesters unfortunately. Our very hectic long-gaps timetable schedule made it worse for Day-Scholars to stay in university for a long time.

Frequent change in faculty.

Internet service for students is not good

5th Semester

Yes sometimes the internet is not working properly. We cannot able to download some software in lab.

No issues with teachers, technology lacks and we are left behind when compared to other universities, the most basic tech, Wi-Fi is not properly available for us.

No One Return Marked Quizzes Assignment etc. on Time.

Internet availability issue in software engineering dept.

Internet unavailable at certain places in classes and building

No basic availability of internet in Sir Syed block. In 2nd floor

Yes! New lab assistant is not good. He does not give permission to sit in Lab

7th Semester

No One Return Marked Quizzes Assignment etc. on Time.

I urgently wanted attested result cards and it took them a month to give them to me.

Internet availability issue in software engineering dept.

Internet unavailable at certain places in classes and building

No internet in SE department on second floor.

Unclear communication: Students may have difficulty understanding the teacher's explanations or expectations.

Unfair grading: Students may feel that they are being graded unfairly, either due to personal bias or a lack of consistency.

Unresponsiveness: Students may have difficulty contacting the teacher outside of class or getting their questions answered.

Technology-related problems:

Software issues: Students may encounter problems with the software or equipment they need to use for their classes.

Internet connectivity issues: Students may have difficulty accessing the internet, which can make it difficult to complete online assignments or participate in online discussions.

Lack of technical support: Students may not have access to adequate technical support when they encounter problems.

Course-related problems:

Difficult workload: Students may find the workload for a particular course to be overwhelming or unrealistic.

Unclear expectations: Students may not be clear about what is expected of them in a particular course.

Lack of interest: Students may find the subject matter of a particular course to be uninteresting or irrelevant to their goals.

Yes! New lab assistant is not good. He does not give permission to sit in Lab.



Computer Sciences Department
Final Term Faculty Evaluation
Undergraduate Courses
FALL 2023

Date: 05-04-2024

Chairperson Computer Science Department

Subject: **Final Term Faculty Evaluation Report – FALL 2023**

1. The Directorate of QA&C conducts online Final-Term Faculty's Evaluation Survey in each semester to evaluate faculty members and lab engineers of all the departments and score them accordingly.
2. The faculty evaluation of Undergraduate Programs for FALL Semester 2023 has been completed. The report along with analysis and recommendations has been approved by the Vice Chancellor.
3. The report of your department consists of the following information.
 - a. Analysis and Major Concerns of Students.
 - b. General Recommendations by the Directorate of QA&C.
 - c. Overall Department's Faculty Evaluation.
 - d. Individual faculty members' evaluation.
 - e. Students' comment (semester wise).
2. It is therefore requested that kindly examine the reports and take appropriate action and submit the compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C to complete the CQI cycle.

(Prof. Dr. Tahir Nadeem Malik)

Director QA&C

Analysis

S. No.	Dept.	Sem.	Theory Course	Lab Course	Analysis		
1	Computer Science (CS, AI, CybSec)	1st	7	3	3.00 - 3.49 = 1 FM = 2%		
		3rd	9	2	3.50 - 3.99 = 3 FM = 5%		
		5th	5	4	4.00 - 4.49 = 32 FM = 57%		
		7th	9	6	4.50 - 5.00 = 20 FM = 36%		
		1st (AI)	3	2			
		1st (CybSec)	1	0			
		Other Dept.	3	2			
		Total	37	19			
		Major Concerns of Students:					
		1. Lack of internet access throughout the department.					
2. Internet connectivity issues in DBS Lab (AI).							
3. Multimedia projector functioning and quality issue.							
4. PC performance issues.							
5. Pre-medical students facing issues in Programming course due to their background.							
6. Multiple teachers changed in TRW course due to which students faced difficulty in understanding the course.							
7. Student's concerns related to attitude issues of Ms. Amber Urooj and Dr. Inzamam Mashood.							
8. Students are not satisfied with Mr. Umar Aftab (CS and CybSec).							
9. Students are not satisfied with Ms. Sibgha Batool and Programming Fundamental Lab concepts.							

General Recommendations

The primary objective of conducting teacher evaluations by students in each semester is to address the student concerns effectively, recognizing students as representatives of the university. The feedback provided by the students plays a crucial role in maintaining the quality of education and positive reputation of the University. The observations and comments gathered from students during the current semester, Fall 2023, closely resemble those from the previous two semesters, Spring 2023 and Fall 2022.

At the end of every semester, comprehensive reports along with analysis of the preceding semester were sent to the Chairperson of all the departments. They are requested to submit a Compliance Report within 15 days after thoroughly reviewing the evaluation reports and student's feedback. The compliance profile of the previous semester is enclosed as Annex-I.

Recommendations of The Directorate of QA&C for the semester Spring 2023 are given below:

1. Chairpersons are encouraged to improve communication with students, addressing the concerns they've raised in teacher feedback surveys, and strive to instill confidence by transparently discussing the actions taken, whenever feasible.
2. Furthermore, Chairpersons are kindly requested to organize training sessions within their respective departments for faculty members, focusing on addressing student concerns regarding teaching styles, behavioral issues, and attitudes of teachers. Training sessions must also cover the basics i.e. personality grooming and maintaining class environment etc. It is also requested to keep the Directorate of QA&C informed by sending notifications and details regarding the content of these training sessions.
3. Every chairperson must review the functionality of classroom projectors, laboratory apparatus, computers, and software to verify their proper operation. Any necessary enhancements should be implemented, and proper codal formalities must be followed accordingly.
4. The Manager IT should give serious attention to students' concerns regarding internet connectivity and address them to the fullest extent possible, with approval from the competent authority. These issues have been persistently raised by students for the past two years. Furthermore, it is requested that the competent authority instruct the IT manager to submit a compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C for the completion of the CQI cycle.
5. Chairpersons are kindly requested to submit the compliance report for the Fall 2023 semester, as well as the previous semester, Spring 2023, to the Directorate of QA&C within 15 days. This submission should follow a thorough analysis of the evaluation reports and student comments from both Spring 2023 and the current semester.

Overall Faculty Evaluation – CSD

S. No.	Name	Subject	Program	Class	Study in Next Semester	Evaluation
1	Zeeshan Riaz	Management & Entrepreneurship	CS	3rd (c,d)	4.82	4.80
2	Usman Arshad	English	CS (AI)	1st (a,b)	4.72	4.71
3	Shahid Iqbal	Data Structures and Algorithms	CS	3rd (c,d)	4.58	4.68
4	Abdullah Shahrose	Data Structures and Algorithms Lab	CS	3rd (c,d)	4.54	4.67
5	M. Husnain	Operating Systems Lab	CS	5th (a,b)	4.56	4.63
6	Nouman Noor	Database Systems	CS	5th (a,b)	4.64	4.61
7	Dr. Samia Ejaz	Operating Systems	CS	5th (a,b)	4.46	4.60
8	Usman Arshad	English	CS (CybSec)	1st	4.69	4.60
9	Muhammad Khalid	Economics	CS	3rd (a,b,c)	4.41	4.59
10	Zille Huma Naveed	English	CS	1st (a,b,c,d)	4.60	4.58
11	Dr. Waqas Ahmed	Data Communication and Computer Networks	CS (SE)	5th (a,b)	4.55	4.57
12	Shahid Iqbal	Human Computer Interaction	CS	7th (a,b)	4.49	4.56
13	Muhammad Asif	Introduction to Information Communication Technology	CS	1st (a,c,d)	4.60	4.55
14	Muhammad Asif	Introduction to Information Communication Technology Lab	CS	1st (c,d)	4.54	4.55
15	Abdul Samad Danish	Computer Graphics	CS	5th (a,b)	4.49	4.55
16	Dr. Waqas Ahmed	Data Communication and Computer Networks Lab	CS (SE)	5th (a,b)	4.50	4.54
17	Adnan Javed	IT Lab	CS (BBA & BSAF)	1st	4.52	4.54
18	Abdul Samad Danish	Computer Graphics Lab	CS	5th (a,b)	4.48	4.53
19	M. Adnan Javed	Introduction to Information & Communication	CS (ISD)	1st	4.30	4.53

20	Sajid Hussain	Discrete Structures	CS (AI)	1st (a,b)	4.20	4.52
21	Dr. Attique	Numerical Computing	CS	8th	4.54	4.52
22	Dr. Attique	Artificial Neural Networks	CS	8th	4.38	4.52
23	Dr. Hasna	Information Security	CS	8th	4.62	4.51
24	Fatima Rauf	Database Systems Lab	CS	5th (a,b)	4.11	4.48
25		Translation of Quran: Dealings and Commandments	CS	8th	4.54	4.48
26	Abdul Majid	Discrete Structures	CS	1st (c,d)	4.46	4.47
27	Fatima Rauf	Data Structures and Algorithms Lab	CS	3rd (a,b)	4.43	4.47
28	Dr. Junaid Ali Khan	Theory of Automata	CS	5th (a,b)	4.21	4.46
29	Ameer Hamza	Human Computer Interaction Lab	CS	7th (a,b)	4.42	4.46
30	Dr. Mudassar Raza	Programming Fundamentals	CS	1st (d)	4.51	4.45
31	Dr. M. Nazir	Discrete Structures	CS	1st (a,b)	4.52	4.43
32	Dr. Yasir Noman	Distributed Computing	CS	8th	4.08	4.43
33	Abdul Majid	Economics	CS	3rd (d)	4.07	4.42
34	Irfan Haider	Programming Fundamentals Lab	CS (AI)	1st (a)	4.38	4.42
35	Muhammad Khalid	IT in Business	CS (BBA & BSAF)	1st	4.31	4.42
36	Zille Huma Navid	Technical Report Writing	CS	3rd (c)	4.41	4.40
37	Abdul Samad	Introduction to Information Communication Technology	CS	1st (b)	4.63	4.39
38	Sajid Hussain	Software Engineering	CS	3rd (c,d)	4.03	4.39
39	Dr. M. Attique Khan	Artificial Neural Networks	CS	7th (a,b,c)	4.36	4.38
40	Hina Gillani	Programming Fundamentals Lab	CS (AI)	1st (b)	4.44	4.38
41	Ameer Hamza	Artificial Neural Networks Lab	CS	8th	4.23	4.38
42	Ameer Hamza	Numerical Computing Lab	CS	8th	4.54	4.36

43	Waqar Ismail	Data Structures and Algorithms	CS	3rd (a,b)	4.13	4.35
44	Usman Arshad	Technical Report Writing	CS	3rd (a,b)	4.20	4.34
45	Ameer Hamza	Artificial Neural Networks Lab	CS	7th (a,b,c)	4.39	4.34
46	Dr. Yasir Noman	Introduction to Optimization Techniques	CS	7th (a,b,c)	4.23	4.29
47	Abdullah Shahroz	Human Computer Interaction Lab	CS	7th (c)	4.50	4.28
48	Faiza Jahangir	Introduction to Machine Learning Lab	CS	5th (a,b)	3.67	4.27
49	Waqar Ismail	Human Computer Interaction	CS	7th (c)	4.33	4.27
50	Muhammad Husnain	Compiler Construction Lab	CS	7th (a,b,c)	4.22	4.26
51	Faiza Jahangir	Introduction to Machine Learning	CS	5th (a,b)	3.72	4.25
52	Dr. Samia Ejaz	Digital Image Processing	CS	7th (a,b,c)	4.21	4.25
53	Amber Urooj	Introduction to Information Communication Technology Lab	CS	1st (a,b)	4.30	4.24
54	Waqar Ismail	Health Safety and Environment	CS	8th	4.23	4.24
55	Noman Noor	Introduction to Datascience Lab	CS	7th (c)	4.25	4.18
56	Dr. Mudassar Raza	Programming Fundamentals	CS (AI)	1st (a,b)	3.86	4.18
57	Dr. Hasna Arshad	Software Engineering	CS	3rd (a,b)	3.59	4.17
58	Abdullah Shahroz	Introduction to Datascience Lab	CS	7th (a,b)	4.19	4.17
59	Noman Noor	Introduction to Datascience	CS	7th (a,b,c)	4.06	4.13
60	Faiza Jahangir	Introduction to Big Datamining	CS	7th (a,b,c)	4.04	4.06
61	Dr. Inzamam Mashood	Programming Fundamentals	CS	1st (a,b,c)	3.52	3.98
62	Sibgha Batool	Programming Fundamentals Lab	CS	1st (a,b,c,d)	3.69	3.98
63	Sibgha Batool	Digital Image Processing	CS	7th (a,b,c)	3.84	3.88
64	Umar Aftab	Compiler Construction	CS	7th (a,b,c)	3.13	3.30

Individual Faculty Members' Evaluation

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Zeeshan Riaz / Management & Entrepreneurship

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.87
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.84
Teacher was punctual	4.90
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.90
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.88
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.81
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.73
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.67
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.64
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.79
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.73
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.82
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.82
Evaluation	4.80

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (AI)**Usman Arshad / English**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.85
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.79
Teacher was punctual	4.83
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.72
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.75
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.58
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.68
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.68
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.61
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.73
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.59
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.70
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.72
Evaluation	4.71

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Shahid Iqbal / Data Structures and Algorithms

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.67
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.67
Teacher was punctual	4.87
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.67
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.64
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.67
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.72
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.66
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.58
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.78
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.64
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.58
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.58
Evaluation	4.68

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Abdullah Shahrose / Data Structures and Algorithms Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.67
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.75
Teacher was punctual	4.87
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.61
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.64
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.81
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.60
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.70
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.58
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.66
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.61
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.58
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.54
Evaluation	4.67

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

M. Husnain / Operating Systems Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.75
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.61
Teacher was punctual	4.82
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.66
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.66
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.62
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.57
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.62
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.48
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.62
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.61
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.56
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.56
Evaluation	4.63

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Nouman Noor / Database Systems

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.67
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.61
Teacher was punctual	4.75
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.69
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.66
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.61
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.44
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.57
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.46
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.61
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.66
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.59
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.64
Evaluation	4.61

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Samia Ejaz / Operating Systems

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.59
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.57
Teacher was punctual	4.74
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.62
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.67
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.54
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.57
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.62
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.46
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.61
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.62
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.57
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.46
Evaluation	4.60

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (CybSec)

Usman Arshad / English

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.71
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.62
Teacher was punctual	4.71
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.72
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.67
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.48
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.67
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.64
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.16
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.71
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.55
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.53
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.69
Evaluation	4.60

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Muhammad Khalid / Economics

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.61
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.59
Teacher was punctual	4.71
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.61
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.53
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.59
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.56
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.68
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.41
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.62
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.59
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.58
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.41
Evaluation	4.59

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Zille Huma Naveed / English

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.66
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.62
Teacher was punctual	4.70
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.63
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.72
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.50
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.57
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.52
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.48
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.65
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.37
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.53
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.60
Evaluation	4.58

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (SE)

Dr. Waqas Ahmed / Data Communication and Computer Networks

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.59
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.55
Teacher was punctual	4.70
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.62
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.65
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.50
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.50
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.54
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.41
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.59
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.59
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.58
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.55
Evaluation	4.57

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Shahid Iqbal / Human Computer Interaction

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.64
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.62
Teacher was punctual	4.68
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.55
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.51
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.58
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.32
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.53
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.64
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.53
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.57
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.49
Evaluation	4.56

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

**Muhammad Asif / Introduction to Information Communication
Technology**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.71
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.57
Teacher was punctual	4.83
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.77
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.73
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.45
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.01
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.58
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.37
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.71
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.36
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.54
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.60
Evaluation	4.55

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

**Muhammad Asif / Introduction to Information Communication
Technology Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.76
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.59
Teacher was punctual	4.76
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.68
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.75
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.47
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.03
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.58
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.47
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.67
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.34
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.56
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.54
Evaluation	4.55

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Abdul Samad Danish / Computer Graphics

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.57
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.51
Teacher was punctual	4.61
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.56
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.52
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.57
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.52
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.54
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.48
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.56
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.56
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.49
Evaluation	4.55

4.56

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (SE)

**Dr. Waqas Ahmed / Data Communication and Computer
Networks Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.58
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.55
Teacher was punctual	4.66
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.59
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.62
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.45
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.45
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.51
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.39
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.58
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.58
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.53
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.50
Evaluation	4.54

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (BBA & BSAF)**Adnan Javed / IT Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.59
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.59
Teacher was punctual	4.66
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.59
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.52
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.38
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.48
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.62
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.45
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.62
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.41
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.55
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.52
Evaluation	4.54

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Abdul Samad Danish / Computer Graphics Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.56
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.51
Teacher was punctual	4.61
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.52
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.51
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.56
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.51
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.52
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.48
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.52
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.56
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.56
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.48
Evaluation	4.53

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (ISD)

M. Adnan Javed / Introduction to Information & Communication

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.60
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.60
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.60
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.60
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.50
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.60
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.40
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.40
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.40
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.30
Evaluation	4.53

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (AI)**Sajid Hussain / Discrete Structures**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.54
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.51
Teacher was punctual	4.72
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.52
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.54
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.44
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.66
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.59
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.45
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.58
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.39
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.35
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.20
Evaluation	4.52

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Attique / Numerical Computing

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.54
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.46
Teacher was punctual	4.46
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.62
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.54
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.54
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.54
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.54
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.46
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.46
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.54
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.54
Evaluation	4.52

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Attique / Artificial Neural Networks

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.54
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.46
Teacher was punctual	4.46
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.62
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.54
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.54
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.54
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.54
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.46
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.46
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.54
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.38
Evaluation	4.52

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Hasna / Information Security

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.54
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.46
Teacher was punctual	4.54
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.54
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.46
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.46
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.54
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.54
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.46
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.54
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.46
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.62
Evaluation	4.51

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Fatima Rauf / Database Systems Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.36
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.46
Teacher was punctual	4.62
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.43
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.51
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.54
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.51
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.49
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.41
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.51
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.54
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.44
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.11
Evaluation	4.48

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

/ Translation of Quran: Dealings and Commandments

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.46
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.46
Teacher was punctual	4.46
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.46
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.46
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.46
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.46
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.54
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.46
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.54
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.46
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.54
Evaluation	4.48

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Abdul Majid / Discrete Structures

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.58
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.54
Teacher was punctual	4.70
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.58
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.53
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.37
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.05
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.51
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.42
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.70
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.28
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.39
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.46
Evaluation	4.47

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Fatima Rauf / Data Structures and Algorithms Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.54
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.44
Teacher was punctual	4.64
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.56
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.54
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.39
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.51
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.59
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.16
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.51
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.33
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.43
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.43
Evaluation	4.47

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Junaid Ali Khan / Theory of Automata

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.43
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.51
Teacher was punctual	4.20
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.46
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.56
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.48
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.51
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.46
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.39
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.51
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.57
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.51
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.21
Evaluation	4.46

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Ameer Hamza / Human Computer Interaction Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.60
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.53
Teacher was punctual	4.53
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.57
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.40
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.45
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.45
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.26
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.42
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.45
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.40
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.42
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.42
Evaluation	4.46

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Mudassar Raza / Programming Fundamentals

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.64
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.46
Teacher was punctual	4.67
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.54
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.49
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.41
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.82
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.46
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.51
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.36
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.46
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.51
Evaluation	4.45

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. M. Nazir / Discrete Structures

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.63
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.55
Teacher was punctual	4.68
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.63
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.61
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.25
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.90
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.43
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.08
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.69
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.35
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.40
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.52
Evaluation	4.43

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Yasir Noman / Distributed Computing

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.46
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.46
Teacher was punctual	4.38
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.46
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.38
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.31
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.46
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.46
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.38
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.46
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.46
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.46
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.08
Evaluation	4.43

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT**Abdul Majid / Economics**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.37
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.60
Teacher was punctual	4.67
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.47
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.27
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.50
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.30
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.53
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.33
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.53
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.17
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.33
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.07
Evaluation	4.42

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (AI)

Irfan Haider / Programming Fundamentals Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.38
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.32
Teacher was punctual	4.54
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.46
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.35
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.22
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.49
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.51
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.43
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.59
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.27
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.49
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.38
Evaluation	4.42

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (BBA & BSAF)

Muhammad Khalid / IT in Business

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.45
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.34
Teacher was punctual	4.52
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.52
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.41
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.28
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.31
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.41
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.38
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.52
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.38
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.48
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.31
Evaluation	4.42

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Zille Huma Navid / Technical Report Writing

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.24
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.30
Teacher was punctual	4.54
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.32
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.41
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.19
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.46
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.46
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.43
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.51
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.43
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.51
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.41
Evaluation	4.40

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Abdul Samad / Introduction to Information Communication Technology

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.41
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.52
Teacher was punctual	4.57
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.52
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.61
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.28
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.11
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.37
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.17
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.35
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.28
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.52
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.63
Evaluation	4.39

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Sajid Hussain / Software Engineering

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.16
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.25
Teacher was punctual	4.69
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.21
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.48
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.31
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.52
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.49
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.39
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.46
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.39
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.33
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.03
Evaluation	4.39

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. M. Attique Khan / Artificial Neural Networks

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.42
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.43
Teacher was punctual	4.40
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.39
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.39
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.43
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.36
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.34
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.36
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.40
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.36
Evaluation	4.38

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (AI)

Hina Gillani / Programming Fundamentals Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.18
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.26
Teacher was punctual	4.24
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.15
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.32
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.56
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.44
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.41
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.44
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.53
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.56
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.44
Evaluation	4.38

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Ameer Hamza / Artificial Neural Networks Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.38
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.31
Teacher was punctual	4.38
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.54
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.31
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.38
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.38
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.31
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.31
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.38
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.54
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.23
Evaluation	4.38

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Ameer Hamza / Numerical Computing Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.38
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.31
Teacher was punctual	4.38
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.38
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.31
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.38
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.38
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.31
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.31
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.38
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.38
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.54
Evaluation	4.36

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Waqar Ismail / Data Structures and Algorithms

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.44
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.44
Teacher was punctual	4.46
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.48
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.43
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.33
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.21
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.39
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.11
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.34
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.25
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.28
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.13
Evaluation	4.35

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Usman Arshad / Technical Report Writing

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.33
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.36
Teacher was punctual	4.49
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.44
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.36
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.43
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.23
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.39
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.11
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.38
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.26
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.26
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.20
Evaluation	4.34

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Ameer Hamza / Artificial Neural Networks Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.32
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.39
Teacher was punctual	4.36
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.34
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.34
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.38
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.32
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.25
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.32
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.34
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.38
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.39
Evaluation	4.34

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Yasir Noman / Introduction to Optimization Techniques

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.26
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.29
Teacher was punctual	4.26
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.21
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.29
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.38
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.31
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.26
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.30
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.31
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.35
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.23
Evaluation	4.29

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Abdullah Shahroz / Human Computer Interaction Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.33
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.25
Teacher was punctual	4.25
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.29
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.29
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.33
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.17
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.33
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.17
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.25
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.33
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.38
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.50
Evaluation	4.28

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Faiza Jahangir / Introduction to Machine Learning Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.18
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.26
Teacher was punctual	4.31
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.23
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.25
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.16
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.31
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.33
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.23
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.33
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.36
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.28
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.67
Evaluation	4.27

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Waqar Ismail / Human Computer Interaction

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.13
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.21
Teacher was punctual	4.25
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.25
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.29
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.25
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.25
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.29
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.25
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.29
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.42
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.42
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.33
Evaluation	4.27

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Muhammad Husnain / Compiler Construction Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.18
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.29
Teacher was punctual	4.32
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.25
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.19
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.23
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.21
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.34
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.27
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.36
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.25
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.26
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.22
Evaluation	4.26

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Faiza Jahangir / Introduction to Machine Learning

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.18
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.16
Teacher was punctual	4.31
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.25
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.20
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.07
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.31
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.31
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.23
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.32
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.34
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.31
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.72
Evaluation	4.25

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Samia Ejaz / Digital Image Processing

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.21
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.23
Teacher was punctual	4.22
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.21
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.27
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.29
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.32
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.19
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.22
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.34
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.26
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.22
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.21
Evaluation	4.25

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

**Amber Urooj / Introduction to Information Communication
Technology Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.35
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.31
Teacher was punctual	4.47
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.38
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.27
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.13
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.01
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.24
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.91
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.17
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.24
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.30
Evaluation	4.24

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Waqar Ismail / Health Safety and Environment

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.08
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.23
Teacher was punctual	4.23
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.23
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.23
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.23
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.31
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.38
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.31
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.23
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.23
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.23
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.23
Evaluation	4.24

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Noman Noor / Introduction to Datascience Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.08
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.21
Teacher was punctual	4.17
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.17
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.21
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.04
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.21
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.17
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.17
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.21
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.25
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.29
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.25
Evaluation	4.18

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (AI)

Dr. Mudassar Raza / Programming Fundamentals

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.06
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.13
Teacher was punctual	4.48
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.15
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.28
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.14
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.70
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.35
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.23
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.28
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.14
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.20
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.86
Evaluation	4.18

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Hasna Arshad / Software Engineering

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.15
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.08
Teacher was punctual	4.38
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.15
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.21
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.97
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.43
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.26
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.97
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.25
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.13
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.02
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.59
Evaluation	4.17

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Abdullah Shahroz / Introduction to Datascience Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.00
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.13
Teacher was punctual	4.21
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.19
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.15
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.21
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.30
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.11
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.17
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.15
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.15
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.30
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.19
Evaluation	4.17

4.36

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Noman Noor / Introduction to Datascience

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.09
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.14
Teacher was punctual	4.14
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.13
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.14
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.00
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.19
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.17
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.17
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.12
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.14
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.21
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.06
Evaluation	4.13

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Faiza Jahangir / Introduction to Big Datamining

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.92
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.06
Teacher was punctual	4.06
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.06
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.09
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.03
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.16
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.01
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.06
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.09
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.03
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.09
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.04
Evaluation	4.06

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Inzamam Mashood / Programming Fundamentals

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.98
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.93
Teacher was punctual	4.08
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.98
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.02
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.90
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.68
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.03
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.95
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.20
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.98
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.97
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.52
Evaluation	3.98

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Sibgha Batool / Programming Fundamentals Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.92
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.93
Teacher was punctual	4.23
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.95
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.00
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.92
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.89
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.00
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.84
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.20
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.93
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.91
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.69
Evaluation	3.98

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Sibgha Batool / Digital Image Processing

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.81
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.81
Teacher was punctual	3.83
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.87
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.95
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.94
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.94
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	3.87
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.95
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	3.84
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.87
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.92
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.84
Evaluation	3.88

4.86

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

Umar Aftab /Compiler Construction

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.17
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.25
Teacher was punctual	3.44
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.22
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.31
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.10
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.36
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	3.34
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.26
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	3.35
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.39
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.38
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.13
Evaluation	3.30

4.86

DIRECTORATE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND COLLABORATIONS

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

STUDENTS' COMMENTS - FALL 2023

1st Semester

The majority pc in lab don't work properly and many projectors are faulty

I've faced lab problem because when the person is sitting in the back u cannot clearly hear teacher they should install mike there and make projector more clear to see to hear and see clearly and also for pf I m a student who start programming now only in first student because in the past i didn't study computer science so kindly make pf lab more descriptive

As there are many students those complete their 12 with medical and this is difficult for them to survive with other students. Its teacher responsibility especially the teachers who teach Programming but these teachers teach us on the highest level. According to me in first semester teachers have to develop our base in programming specially in CS.

In labs the computer equipment are not fulfilled we bring our laptops to do lab tasks.

Computer issue

In labs the computer equipment are not full filled.

Most of Lab computers are not working. Lab is not available most of the time for students. Class projector are in worse condition. Circuit board of classroom 6 are short circuited. Door handles are missing. Benches in most classes are very uncomfortable.

Didn't take sessionals before mids .computers are not working properly in labs.

Lab computer, projectors, switch board needs repair for class room 6

Dr. Nazir should change their method of teaching

Some of your chairs are brokenis this university or what? please at least try to improve our classroom sitting plan

Actually a bit more attention is guaranteed for pre-med students. We have been suffering from such issues from which students with ICS and engineering are not suffering.

We are facing the problem n lab in IICT. Ma'am Amber Arooj behavior are too rude in student .she does not respond the problem of the student please change the teacher in section A.

We are facing the problem of the pf fundamental subject. Sir Inzamam does not respond the student and their teaching method is not good plz change this sir in section A. Start se h n ki samjh ni ati plz hamara semester damage hu rha h

labs teachers are not cooperative

Mostly in labs the computer equipment are not fulfilled and CPUs are not available for the PCs, and DEV C++ compiler are not working in mostly PCs.
Programming Teacher didn't completed the course before mids and there were questions out of the course
In lab no all PC's Working
Labs are not managed so bad management even some pc don't have mouse one PC on the second row in general lab even don't have CPU only LCD is present and also lab instructors are not cooperative and I faced a lot of difficulties some times. Once i have missed my assignment due to not availability of computer. Once I required MS word I don't get it and missed my IICT lab I asked to the lab instructor for software he replied I don't have it I said I have to attend lab plzz arrange he asked I can't leave the lab so disgraceful attitude plzzz focus on that our main work is on computer if we don't have pcs then what you expect that we can understand topics and get professional in it. So I request to plzz focus on it and make our lab services as best as you can. THANKS
Many Programming Fundamental classes were cancelled
Net issue in labs Computer not working Pf theory not satisfied
Computer labs should be more advanced
internet signal issues too much
PCs of lab doesn't work properly.
Wi-Fi and internet was slow
Ma'am Sibgha was not easy to talk to at all
Some questions of paper was not relevant to what we studied I mean the paper's difficultly level was quite high
All are good except mam Sibgha. She is also a good teacher but with poor teaching style and attitude.
Islamiat notes or slides are not presented in English.
The problem is that the computers in the CS Lab do not all working well. There are always a few computers with some problem and not enough working computers for 50 students of a class and the teacher says, "bring your own laptops" which is obviously not possible for every student. Kindly, consider regular or weekly checkup on the responsible person in the lab.
Ms. Sibgha Batool does not answer queries during regular lab.
My request is that plz change our islamiyat class which was held on Friday change the day
Please change timing of Islamic studies which held after jummah prayer..plz change its timing
Plz Inzamam sir kii jaga kisi or ko baj dea UN kii bikul bi samjh nhi ati

Computer issues in labs shortage of pc's
Please change Ma'am Sibgha Batool
Please don't ever give us Dr. Inzamam and Ma'am Sibgha Batool
Please change Ma'am Sibgha Batool
Projectors are not working properly
No one explain us about CLO.
No one return us our checked assignments except Ma'am Zille, due to which we have to face some problems while preparing for mids
University in technology wise is so far behind. There should be student portal also where students can see their update status of courses (course grade and transcript etc.).
Don't understand programming by these teacher we are not satisfied
In Programming Fundamental lab we are not given different examples that's why we face many difficulty in evaluation.
Highly Unsatisfied with programming fundamentals theory and programming fundamentals lab as well.
Programming fundamentals course for theory as well as a lab was not completed in time. Calculus and analytical geometry class need to be arranged separately for every CS section. Because in combine class there's so much disturbance.
No I am not satisfied. Ma'am Sibgha Batool is a little bit rude
Change pf lab madam (Sibgha Batool)
Sir Mudassar Raza is outstanding teacher his concepts are so clear and satisfying.
In first semester for pre-medical students only pre-calculus is giving to the students calculus can be giving to the student in the next semesters
Labs monitor are not in good condition plus most of them doesn't work
3rd Semester
Internet issues are most common
lab are not properly functional and lack availability of instruments
Database lab have no internet access

multiple teachers changed in TRW course
Soo many internet issues and half of PC's in lab doesn't work
ventilation of lab
Internet problems in university
Net issue, some teachers have issues like ethical issue.
Network are not available in lab computers
Student Privacy Security on CMIS is not taken seriously, and the instability and unavailability of internet throughout the campus and even in central labs is major problem. Secondly, an interactive, unified LMS system would greatly benefit the students as it is present in nearly every major university.
While during this semester there is one subject which is difficult to understand the concept of the subject and that subject is software engineering.
Lab PC are not good and some don't even work. Wi-Fi is a serious issue.
Heaters not working properly
Some Teachers don't take Classes on time so classes must be taken on time.
Some computers in DBS lab is not in good condition. Some don't even turn on. And some have missing keyboards, mouse. No internet is connected to computers in DBS lab.
Our SE (software engineer) sir graded very badly, for e.g. there was a question of 20 marks divided into two parts first of all he only gave me 5 marks out of 20 by only checking the first part, when I pointed that out he added an extra five without even checking the other part, so in conclusion I got graded for the second question on the bases of first question without even being checked. That is very unfair if you ask me.
I think TRW teacher did unfair and quick checking and never showed our papers.
1-Our Software Engineering teacher is not specialized study teacher in Software Engineering because that's subject is Cyber security so why he was teaching Software Engineering. Many of students have missed concepts of this subject. 2-Sometime teacher has problem to linked Projector with PC and projector doesn't work done efficiently and time was wasted, so instruct the outside runner to sure before class projector could work cleared or not.
No, but CS are very bad the extensions of IDS are not downloaded
Poor internet connection throughout the department
5th Semester
Internet issues in lab
Internet issue in lab , computers don't work properly
Lab computers should be upgraded

Many computers didn't work in Lab 1 for Machine Learning. I got my marks deducted in lab viva for ML only because of faulty computer that I was working on. Internet is never available in most parts of the university. Some courses were supposed to be taught by some teacher but was taught by someone else. Huge amount of respect for Sir Nauman Noor.

No Internet in labs.

Faced a lot of problems in lab for example keyboards were kind of broken net issues also some PCs were not working properly. In summer ACs were not working properly and in winter no inverter was working.

No internet in the lab

In our dmbs lab, our whole period no internet facility was provided to us. Despite of various reminders to the coordinator, no action taken on it.

Yes internet connectivity is not available in overall department of Computer science. It is only available in some classes

The internet is pathetic

Internet issue and availability of key software in labs

7th Semester

No problem at all.

But newly hired teachers should treat us (Final year student) well. Even they compared us with new batches. Mostly new hired teachers are well qualified but some are not good with behavior.

I'll hope so they will adjust their behavior according to university protocol, in future.

No check n balance on marking of exams and during examination no check n balance of cheating students are not giving fair exams they're cheating all the time.

I have faced problems in bike parking area it is so much muddy after rain and it is difficult to park bike in muddy floor it destroy our clothes and vehicles.

Net issue not resolve till now.

Sir Umar Aftab have the potential if it's used properly. He is not good at conveying the lecture. Yes the student also don't feel like studying but you as a teacher have to engage the students politely and with skills , just like Mam Faiza Jahangir , she knows what she is doing , she's good at it and knows how to convey the lecture and make sure students understand.

On the other hand, when it comes to Sir Umar. If you are just focusing on two three students of a section and make sure just they understand the topic and you aren't concerned about rest of the class , I don't think you should be a lecturer , better if appointed as lab assistant.

If saying 'clear hai' multiple times in a annoyed manner , no student will dare to ask because you will give me the same lecture again which will be of expert level lecture who have studied the field not someone like us. We will never understand this expert level lecture as someone who ever heard of certain things of this very course.

Sir should cooperate on students in attendance and grades.

Technology problems computers are very slow.

Poor quality of projectors

Invertors not working in classes but working very well in offices.

Poor quality of projectors and a small size screen most of the time is waste just because projector is not connecting or something like that ,poor internet in the whole department one router will be working only most of the time ,inverters too, overall make more strictness towards checking while exams make 0 cheating tolerance policy there's no a good checking procedure most of the time or make seating arrangement to be changed every day and also do cross section setting arrangement and also writing on the chairs desk etc. kindly make a policy certain policy where cheating will not be tolerated no external material many of the time student get successfully in with the external material Hope so someone will read this and implement this.

CS department ka kui b net nhi sai sy chlta.

Faculty is not good, hostel is not good, and people are not good management is not good, give a chance to army to take over university.

Projectors are not well maintained and most of the time teacher face difficulties to connect through its connector and also the visuals are also not up to the mark which result in delay or many other difficulties, PC's and internet overall in the CS department is slow too!!

Internet is slow and computers are like snails.

Lab computer is not working good.

There is a lot of issue regarding internet connection in university. The Wi-Fi don't work. Plus Sir Umer Aftab made a paper of that was not easy for any of the student. I am a hard working student with the GPA of 3.08. I did a lot of hard work. Still the whole class was passed with one question.

Poor quality of projectors.

In labs slow PC's.



BS Civil Engineering
Final Term Faculty Evaluation
Undergraduate Courses
FALL 2023

Date: 05-04-2024

Chairperson Civil Engineering

Subject: **Final Term Faculty Evaluation Report – FALL 2023**

1. The Directorate of QA&C conducts online Final-Term Faculty's Evaluation Survey in each semester to evaluate faculty members and lab engineers of all the departments and score them accordingly.
2. The faculty evaluation of Undergraduate Programs for FALL Semester 2023 has been completed. The report along with analysis and recommendations has been approved by the Vice Chancellor.
3. The report of your department consists of the following information.
 - a. Analysis and Major Concerns of Students.
 - b. General Recommendations by the Directorate of QA&C.
 - c. Overall Department's Faculty Evaluation.
 - d. Individual faculty members' evaluation.
 - e. Students' comment (semester wise).
2. It is therefore requested that kindly examine the reports and take appropriate action and submit the compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C to complete the CQI cycle.

(Prof. Dr. Tahir Nadeem Malik)

Director QA&C

Analysis

S. No.	Dept.	Sem.	Theory Course	Lab Course	Analysis	
1	Civil Engineering	1st	2	2	3.00 - 3.49 = 0 FM = 0%	
		3rd	5	4	3.50 - 3.99 = 0 FM = 0%	
		5th	5	3	4.00 - 4.49 = 6 FM = 22%	
		7th	3	3	4.50 - 5.00 = 21 FM = 78%	
		Total	15	12		
		Major Concerns of Students:				
		1. Lack of internet access throughout the department.				
		2. Maintenance Issues in the washroom, uncomfortable chairs, and overall classrooms etc.				
3. Frequent rearranged and unscheduled classes created disturbance in understanding the subject.						

General Recommendations

The primary objective of conducting teacher evaluations by students in each semester is to address the student concerns effectively, recognizing students as representatives of the university. The feedback provided by the students plays a crucial role in maintaining the quality of education and positive reputation of the University. The observations and comments gathered from students during the current semester, Fall 2023, closely resemble those from the previous two semesters, Spring 2023 and Fall 2022.

At the end of every semester, comprehensive reports along with analysis of the preceding semester were sent to the Chairperson of all the departments. They are requested to submit a Compliance Report within 15 days after thoroughly reviewing the evaluation reports and student's feedback. The compliance profile of the previous semester is enclosed as Annex-I.

Recommendations of The Directorate of QA&C for the semester Spring 2023 are given below:

1. Chairpersons are encouraged to improve communication with students, addressing the concerns they've raised in teacher feedback surveys, and strive to instill confidence by transparently discussing the actions taken, whenever feasible.
2. Furthermore, Chairpersons are kindly requested to organize training sessions within their respective departments for faculty members, focusing on addressing student concerns regarding teaching styles, behavioral issues, and attitudes of teachers. Training sessions must also cover the basics i.e. personality grooming and maintaining class environment etc. It is also requested to keep the Directorate of QA&C informed by sending notifications and details regarding the content of these training sessions.

- 3.** Every chairperson must review the functionality of classroom projectors, laboratory apparatus, computers, and software to verify their proper operation. Any necessary enhancements should be implemented, and proper codal formalities must be followed accordingly.
- 4.** The Manager IT should give serious attention to students' concerns regarding internet connectivity and address them to the fullest extent possible, with approval from the competent authority. These issues have been persistently raised by students for the past two years. Furthermore, it is requested that the competent authority instruct the IT manager to submit a compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C for the completion of the CQI cycle.
- 5.** Chairpersons are kindly requested to submit the compliance report for the Fall 2023 semester, as well as the previous semester, Spring 2023, to the Directorate of QA&C within 15 days. This submission should follow a thorough analysis of the evaluation reports and student comments from both Spring 2023 and the current semester.

Overall Faculty Evaluation – CIVIL

S. No.	Name	Subject	Program	Class	Study in Next Semester	Evaluation
1	Muhammad Irfan	Quantity & Cost Estimation Lab	CIVIL	3rd	4.71	4.72
2	Muhammad Irfan	Quantity and Cost Estimation	CIVIL	3rd	4.69	4.71
3	Inayat Ullah Khan	Structural Analysis-II	CIVIL	5th	4.66	4.71
4	Hassan Danish	Surveying-II	CIVIL	3rd	4.60	4.69
5	Muhammad Irfan	Engineering Practice	CIVIL	3rd	4.57	4.69
6	Hassan Danish	Surveying-II Lab	CIVIL	3rd	4.62	4.69
7	M. Usman Khan	Mechanics of Solids-I Lab	CIVIL	3rd	4.67	4.69
8	Inayat Ullah Khan	Mechanics of Solids-II	CIVIL	5th	4.54	4.69
9	Sarmad Wahab	Fluid Mechanics-II Lab	CIVIL	3rd	4.55	4.65
10	Inayat Ullah Khan	Mechanics of Solids-II Lab	CIVIL	5th	4.63	4.64
11	M. Usman Khan	Hydrology & Water Resources Lab	CIVIL	5th	4.69	4.62
12	Hamza Munir	Geotechnical & Foundation Engineering Lab	CIVIL	5th	4.71	4.62
13	Saad Nisar	Fluid Mechanics-I	CIVIL	3rd	4.55	4.60
14	Sarmad Wahab	Mechanics of Solids-I	CIVIL	3rd	4.31	4.58
15	Hamza Munir	Geotechnical & Foundation Engineering	CIVIL	5th	4.49	4.57
16	Muhammad Irfan	Economics	CIVIL	5th	4.57	4.56
17	Sarmad Wahab	Reinforced Concrete-II Lab	CIVIL	7th	4.54	4.56

18	M. Usman Khan	Engineering Mechanics Lab	CIVIL	1st	4.73	4.54
19	Yasir Rasheed	Reinforced Concrete-II	CIVIL	7th	4.59	4.54
20	Hassan Danish	Hydrology & Water Resources	CIVIL	5th	4.43	4.53
21	Dr. Sabahat Hussan	Transportation Engineering-II	CIVIL	7th	4.33	4.50
22	Hamza Munir	Transportation Engineering Lab	CIVIL	7th	4.44	4.41
23	Inayat Ullah	Engineering Mechanics	CIVIL	1st	3.97	4.28
24	Yasir Rasheed	Engineering Drawing Lab	CIVIL	1st	4.13	4.25
25	Yasir Rasheed	Engineering Drawing	CIVIL	1st	3.80	4.19
26	Saad Nisar	Hydraulics Engineering Lab	CIVIL	7th	4.00	4.13
27	Saad Nisar	Hydraulics Engineering	CIVIL	7th	3.97	4.12

Individual Faculty Members' Evaluation

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Muhammad Irfan / Quantity & Cost Estimation Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.79
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.71
Teacher was punctual	4.76
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.76
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.76
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.71
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.74
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.71
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.52
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.76
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.69
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.74
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.71
Evaluation	4.72

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Muhammad Irfan / Quantity and Cost Estimation

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.79
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.74
Teacher was punctual	4.76
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.69
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.79
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.64
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.71
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.69
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.55
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.76
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.69
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.69
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.69
Evaluation	4.71

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Inayat Ullah Khan / Structural Analysis-II**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.71
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.71
Teacher was punctual	4.86
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.80
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.80
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.71
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.71
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.71
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.51
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.71
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.69
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.60
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.66
Evaluation	4.71

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Hassan Danish / Surveying-II

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.76
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.71
Teacher was punctual	4.76
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.74
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.71
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.67
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.64
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.69
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.50
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.76
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.67
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.64
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.60
Evaluation	4.69

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Muhammad Irfan / Engineering Practice**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.79
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.76
Teacher was punctual	4.83
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.62
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.67
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.62
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.76
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.64
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.57
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.69
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.69
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.62
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.57
Evaluation	4.69

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Hassan Danish / Surveying-II Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.69
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.69
Teacher was punctual	4.76
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.71
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.67
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.71
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.69
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.71
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.48
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.74
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.69
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.69
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.62
Evaluation	4.69

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING**M. Usman Khan / Mechanics of Solids-I Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.79
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.71
Teacher was punctual	4.71
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.71
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.71
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.64
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.64
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.71
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.55
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.74
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.71
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.67
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.67
Evaluation	4.69

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Inayat Ullah Khan / Mechanics of Solids-II**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.77
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.74
Teacher was punctual	4.80
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.69
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.77
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.71
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.71
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.69
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.49
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.66
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.66
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.60
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.54
Evaluation	4.69

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Sarmad Wahab / Fluid Mechanics-II Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.60
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.62
Teacher was punctual	4.74
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.74
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.74
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.57
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.64
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.62
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.48
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.74
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.71
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.64
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.55
Evaluation	4.65

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Inayat Ullah Khan / Mechanics of Solids-II Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.71
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.63
Teacher was punctual	4.77
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.69
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.60
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.57
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.63
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.66
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.51
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.63
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.69
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.57
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.63
Evaluation	4.64

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING**M. Usman Khan / Hydrology & Water Resources Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.66
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.66
Teacher was punctual	4.69
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.71
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.63
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.57
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.63
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.43
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.63
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.66
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.60
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.69
Evaluation	4.62

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Hamza Munir / Geotechnical & Foundation Engineering Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.63
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.57
Teacher was punctual	4.74
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.66
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.60
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.57
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.60
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.66
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.49
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.60
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.66
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.63
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.71
Evaluation	4.62

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Saad Nisar / Fluid Mechanics-I**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.74
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.62
Teacher was punctual	4.55
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.62
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.67
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.69
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.40
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.50
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.45
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.67
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.62
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.64
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.55
Evaluation	4.60

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Sarmad Wahab / Mechanics of Solids-I**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.33
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.55
Teacher was punctual	4.67
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.52
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.57
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.57
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.67
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.64
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.40
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.67
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.67
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.64
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.31
Evaluation	4.58

4.36

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Hamza Munir / Geotechnical & Foundation Engineering

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.57
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.51
Teacher was punctual	4.63
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.63
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.77
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.49
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.49
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.63
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.40
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.63
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.63
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.51
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.49
Evaluation	4.57

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Muhammad Irfan / Economics**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.43
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.60
Teacher was punctual	4.66
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.49
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.69
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.31
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.57
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.63
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.60
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.60
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.63
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.60
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.57
Evaluation	4.56

4.56

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Sarmad Wahab / Reinforced Concrete-II Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.56
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.64
Teacher was punctual	4.62
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.56
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.54
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.54
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.46
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.56
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.56
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.62
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.51
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.49
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.54
Evaluation	4.56

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING**M. Usman Khan / Engineering Mechanics Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.83
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.50
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.67
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.33
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.53
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.57
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.53
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.53
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.73
Evaluation	4.54

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Yasir Rasheed / Reinforced Concrete-II

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.44
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.56
Teacher was punctual	4.59
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.49
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.54
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.54
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.41
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.56
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.59
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.62
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.56
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.59
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.59
Evaluation	4.54

4.56

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Hassan Danish / Hydrology & Water Resources

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.49
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.49
Teacher was punctual	4.57
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.54
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.51
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.60
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.57
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.63
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.34
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.60
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.51
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.43
Evaluation	4.53

4.36

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Dr. Sabahat Hussan / Transportation Engineering-II**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.56
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.49
Teacher was punctual	4.69
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.33
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.46
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.49
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.44
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.49
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.49
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.62
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.41
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.54
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.33
Evaluation	4.50

4.36

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Hamza Munir / Transportation Engineering Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.38
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.28
Teacher was punctual	4.54
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.38
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.36
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.44
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.36
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.41
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.41
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.49
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.44
Evaluation	4.41

4.36

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Inayat Ullah / Engineering Mechanics**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.37
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.37
Teacher was punctual	4.47
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.43
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.70
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.10
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.23
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.27
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.03
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.27
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.23
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.20
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.97
Evaluation	4.28

4.36

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Yasir Rasheed / Engineering Drawing Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.07
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.27
Teacher was punctual	4.47
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.50
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.23
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.03
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.37
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.13
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.20
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.13
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.13
Evaluation	4.25

4.36

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Yasir Rasheed / Engineering Drawing**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.10
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.27
Teacher was punctual	4.37
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.27
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.13
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.00
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.30
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.27
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.00
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.27
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.13
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.17
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.80
Evaluation	4.19

4.36

CIVIL ENGINEERING**Saad Nisar / Hydraulics Engineering Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.97
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.18
Teacher was punctual	4.10
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.10
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.23
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.13
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.03
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.08
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.26
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.13
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.10
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.23
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.00
Evaluation	4.13

4.86

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Saad Nisar / Hydraulics Engineering

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.00
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.05
Teacher was punctual	4.00
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.13
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.23
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.21
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.00
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.10
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.26
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.08
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.13
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.26
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.97
Evaluation	4.12

4.86

DIRECTORATE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND COLLABORATIONS

CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

STUDENTS' COMMENTS - FALL 2023

1st Semester

Rearrangement of classes were the biggest issue, classes should be taken on time. Also teachers are changing again and again which is breaking our rhythm of studies.

It is requested that teacher must be change by the end of semester, also all classes must be on their time irrespective any emergency

Sir Yasir Rasheed is discourage us and not take a presentation and as well as the Mr. Adan they do not about the equipment and process the they perform the experiment

Mr. Adnan is not about the process of the experiment not know the proper knowledge about the process

Wi-Fi is not available

3rd Semester

Poor Wi-Fi., Projectors not working in each of the rooms in civil dep.
Hard Chairs need Soft chairs.

Unscheduled classes or surprise classes are a big problem. It should be noted that the teachers take their classes on time. Not early not after. It disturbs timing of students.

Uncomfortable stool in lab

Lack of industrial visits

Chairs are not comfortable and the projectors requires proper maintenance also the Wi-Fi system should be strong enough

Unsatisfied with technology like no LCD etc. in rooms and Projector Is Not Working in all Rooms

There is not Wi-Fi in Civil Department so kindly arrange a Wi-Fi system in that department. Every department have its Wi-Fi

Having some projector issues

There are no proper safety equipment and also have useless equipment like (measuring tape)

Net issue, change chairs, projector should be placed in labs, many more

Net problem in department as well as in university hostel.

5th Semester

Namaz time is not provided
1. Please Repair Washroom in Civil Engineering Department. 2. Change of Chairs and Class room layout 3. Decorated Department [like others]
No Wi-Fi in classroom
University should allow Shalwar Kameez in regular days also.
There are many problems I face in this semester such as net problem. DSA fine on wearing Shalwar Kameez.
We absolutely dissatisfied to our classroom. chairs like primary school students so please replace these cheap chairs we are future engineers
Net issue
Result not update on web
Wi-Fi is not available in department (class)
Projector mostly lag behind. Must consider its repair.
No Wi-Fi no proper word emails no function

7th Semester

We are facing net issue throughout last 3 year ...still it is not solve ...and Also civil department have no router in the dep... While BBA dep have 3 3 router in their corridor.
Problem regarding lab reports .lab reports is in the soft form .prices of printing in university is high.so kindly take view on this.
Highly uncomfortable chairs were provided for lecture rooms.
University is failed to provide a stable internet to students during my study period (3years). Also the department is facing management issues for example a wall clock cell cannot be replaced in time despite having a considerable staff.
Many problems regarding class sitting area.
About lab reports: lab reports and assignment are in soft form in next semester because in hard form cost of print paper is high so kindly take action on this.
Teachers should be trained continuously to enhance teaching skills and to carefully deal with students.
Foreign language course must be selected from the student not by the university or department.



BS Computer Engineering
Final Term Faculty Evaluation
Undergraduate Courses
FALL 2023

Date: 05-04-2024

Chairperson Computer Engineering

Subject: **Final Term Faculty Evaluation Report – FALL 2023**

1. The Directorate of QA&C conducts online Final-Term Faculty's Evaluation Survey in each semester to evaluate faculty members and lab engineers of all the departments and score them accordingly.
2. The faculty evaluation of Undergraduate Programs for FALL Semester 2023 has been completed. The report along with analysis and recommendations has been approved by the Vice Chancellor.
3. The report of your department consists of the following information.
 - a. Analysis and Major Concerns of Students.
 - b. General Recommendations by the Directorate of QA&C.
 - c. Overall Department's Faculty Evaluation.
 - d. Individual faculty members' evaluation.
 - e. Students' comment (semester wise).
2. It is therefore requested that kindly examine the reports and take appropriate action and submit the compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C to complete the CQI cycle.

(Prof. Dr. Tahir Nadeem Malik)

Director QA&C

Analysis

S. No.	Dept.	Sem.	Theory Course	Lab Course	Analysis	
1	Computer Engineering	1st	2	3	3.00 - 3.49 = 0 FM = 0%	
		3rd	3	2	3.50 - 3.99 = 3 FM = 11%	
		5th	4	3	4.00 - 4.49 = 10 FM = 36%	
		7th	5	3	4.50 - 5.00 = 15 FM = 54%	
		Other Dept.	2	1		
		Total	16	12		
		Major Concerns of Students:				
		1. Lack of internet access throughout the department.				
		2. Multimedia projector functioning and quality issue.				
		3. Lab PC's performance issues.				
4. Frequent rearranged and unscheduled classes created disturbance in understanding the subject.						

General Recommendations

The primary objective of conducting teacher evaluations by students in each semester is to address the student concerns effectively, recognizing students as representatives of the university. The feedback provided by the students plays a crucial role in maintaining the quality of education and positive reputation of the University. The observations and comments gathered from students during the current semester, Fall 2023, closely resemble those from the previous two semesters, Spring 2023 and Fall 2022.

At the end of every semester, comprehensive reports along with analysis of the preceding semester were sent to the Chairperson of all the departments. They are requested to submit a Compliance Report within 15 days after thoroughly reviewing the evaluation reports and student's feedback. The compliance profile of the previous semester is enclosed as Annex-I.

Recommendations of The Directorate of QA&C for the semester Spring 2023 are given below:

- 1.** Chairpersons are encouraged to improve communication with students, addressing the concerns they've raised in teacher feedback surveys, and strive to instill confidence by transparently discussing the actions taken, whenever feasible.
- 2.** Furthermore, Chairpersons are kindly requested to organize training sessions within their respective departments for faculty members, focusing on addressing student concerns regarding teaching styles, behavioral issues, and attitudes of teachers. Training sessions must also cover the basics i.e. personality grooming and maintaining class environment etc. It is also requested to keep

the Directorate of QA&C informed by sending notifications and details regarding the content of these training sessions.

- 3.** Every chairperson must review the functionality of classroom projectors, laboratory apparatus, computers, and software to verify their proper operation. Any necessary enhancements should be implemented, and proper codal formalities must be followed accordingly.
- 4.** The Manager IT should give serious attention to students' concerns regarding internet connectivity and address them to the fullest extent possible, with approval from the competent authority. These issues have been persistently raised by students for the past two years. Furthermore, it is requested that the competent authority instruct the IT manager to submit a compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C for the completion of the CQI cycle.
- 5.** Chairpersons are kindly requested to submit the compliance report for the Fall 2023 semester, as well as the previous semester, Spring 2023, to the Directorate of QA&C within 15 days. This submission should follow a thorough analysis of the evaluation reports and student comments from both Spring 2023 and the current semester.

Overall Faculty Evaluation – CE

S. No.	Name	Subject	Program	Class	Study in Next Semester	Evaluation
1	Tehseen Ahsan	Computing Fundamentals	CE (MED)	1st	4.76	4.86
2	Tehseen Ahsan	Professional Values and Ethics	CE	7th	4.83	4.84
3	Tehseen Ahsan	Management & Entrepreneurship	CE	7th	4.78	4.80
4	Dr. M. Bilal	Mobile Application & Game Development Lab	CE	7th	4.61	4.78
5	Hareem Khan	Engineering Physics Lab	CE	1st	4.82	4.77
6	Dr. M. Bilal	Mobile Application & Game Development	CE	7th	4.65	4.77
7	Kaynat Rana	Electric Circuit Analysis	CE	1st	4.77	4.72
8	Kaynat Rana	Computing Fundamentals	CE	1st	4.86	4.69
9	Sara Tehsin	Digital Image Processing	CE	7th	4.61	4.66
10	Sara Tehsin	Digital Image Processing Lab	CE	7th	4.70	4.64
11	Dr. Muhammad Bilal	Software Engineering	CE	5th	4.69	4.63
12	Bushra Fiaz	Computing Fundamentals Lab	CE	1st	4.73	4.62
13	Hareem Khan	Computing Fundamentals Lab	CE (MED)	1st	4.47	4.60
14	Hareem Khan	Database Systems Lab	CE	5th	4.85	4.58

15	Fasih Ahmad	Electric Circuit Analysis Lab	CE	1st	4.09	4.53
16	Qasim Javaid	Digital Logic Designs	CE	3rd	4.21	4.46
17	Kaynat Rana	Technical Report Writing	CE	3rd	4.46	4.45
18	Veena Dillshad	Object Oriented Programming	CE	3rd	4.07	4.38
19	Dr. Raza Ali Shah	Microprocessors and Interfacing Techniques	CE	5th	4.31	4.35
20	Fasih Ahmad	Numerical Methods Lab	CE	5th	4.31	4.29
21	Dr. Raza Ali Shah	Embedded Systems	CE	7th	4.35	4.28
22	Sara Tehsin	Database Systems	CE	5th	4.15	4.26
23	Bushra Fiaz	Embedded Systems Lab	CE	7th	3.83	4.21
24	Bushra Fiaz	Digital Logic Designs Lab	CE	3rd	3.82	4.07
25	Dr. Sobia Arshad	Discrete Structures	CE (CybSec)	1st	3.59	4.01
26	Fasih Ahmed	Object Oriented Programming Lab	CE	3rd	3.18	3.78
27	Bushra Fiaz	Microprocessors and Interfacing Techniques Lab	CE	5th	2.69	3.63
28	Dr. Sobia Arshad	Computer Communication Networks	CE	5th	2.85	3.53

Individual Faculty Members' Evaluation

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Tehseen Ahsan / Computing Fundamentals**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.79
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.84
Teacher was punctual	4.87
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.84
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.84
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.79
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.92
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.87
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.84
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.95
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.84
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.89
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.76
Evaluation	4.86

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Tehseen Ahsan / Professional Values and Ethics**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.78
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.87
Teacher was punctual	4.83
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.83
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.87
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.87
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.87
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.83
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.74
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.87
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.83
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.87
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.83
Evaluation	4.84

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Tehseen Ahsan / Management & Entrepreneurship**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.74
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.83
Teacher was punctual	4.78
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.78
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.83
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.87
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.83
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.78
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.74
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.83
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.83
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.78
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.78
Evaluation	4.80

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Dr. M. Bilal / Mobile Application & Game Development Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.74
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.83
Teacher was punctual	4.91
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.70
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.74
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.70
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.74
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.83
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.70
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.83
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.74
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.91
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.61
Evaluation	4.78

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Hareem Khan / Engineering Physics Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.86
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.77
Teacher was punctual	4.77
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.77
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.73
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.68
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.77
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.68
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.77
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.82
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.86
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.68
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.82
Evaluation	4.77

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Dr. M. Bilal / Mobile Application & Game Development**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.74
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.83
Teacher was punctual	4.91
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.70
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.70
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.70
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.74
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.83
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.70
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.83
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.74
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.83
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.65
Evaluation	4.77

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Kaynat Rana / Electric Circuit Analysis**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.82
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.73
Teacher was punctual	4.77
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.77
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.73
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.59
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.73
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.68
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.64
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.77
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.77
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.68
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.77
Evaluation	4.72

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Kaynat Rana / Computing Fundamentals**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.73
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.64
Teacher was punctual	4.73
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.68
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.68
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.59
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.64
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.73
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.59
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.86
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.73
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.68
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.86
Evaluation	4.69

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Sara Tehsin / Digital Image Processing**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.70
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.57
Teacher was punctual	4.65
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.52
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.61
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.74
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.70
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.74
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.57
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.74
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.70
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.74
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.61
Evaluation	4.66

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Sara Tehsin / Digital Image Processing Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.57
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.65
Teacher was punctual	4.65
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.57
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.61
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.74
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.70
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.74
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.57
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.74
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.70
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.48
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.70
Evaluation	4.64

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Dr. Muhammad Bilal / Software Engineering**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.92
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.77
Teacher was punctual	4.77
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.85
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.77
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.62
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.69
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.46
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.38
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.69
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.46
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.23
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.69
Evaluation	4.63

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Bushra Fiaz / Computing Fundamentals Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.64
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.55
Teacher was punctual	4.68
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.64
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.59
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.55
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.64
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.59
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.68
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.77
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.59
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.50
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.73
Evaluation	4.62

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Hareem Khan / Computing Fundamentals Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.55
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.66
Teacher was punctual	4.66
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.58
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.58
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.68
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.71
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.58
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.50
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.74
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.53
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.47
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.47
Evaluation	4.60

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Hareem Khan / Database Systems Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.77
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.77
Teacher was punctual	4.69
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.69
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.23
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.69
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.69
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.54
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.38
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.46
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.46
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.85
Evaluation	4.58

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Fasih Ahmad / Electric Circuit Analysis Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.59
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.36
Teacher was punctual	4.68
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.45
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.50
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.45
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.45
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.45
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.59
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.68
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.59
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.50
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.09
Evaluation	4.53

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Qasim Javaid / Digital Logic Designs**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.25
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.54
Teacher was punctual	4.57
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.54
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.57
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.57
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.54
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.54
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.25
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.46
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.39
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.36
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.21
Evaluation	4.46

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Kaynat Rana / Technical Report Writing

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.43
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.50
Teacher was punctual	4.46
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.54
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.50
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.61
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.46
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.54
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.21
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.43
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.36
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.39
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.46
Evaluation	4.45

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Veena Dillshad / Object Oriented Programming**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.39
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.36
Teacher was punctual	4.39
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.43
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.43
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.32
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.43
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.50
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.14
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.39
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.39
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.39
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.07
Evaluation	4.38

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Dr. Raza Ali Shah / Microprocessors and Interfacing Techniques

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.54
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.31
Teacher was punctual	4.46
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.38
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.08
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.31
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.46
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.31
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.23
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.31
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.23
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.31
Evaluation	4.35

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Fasih Ahmad / Numerical Methods Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.54
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.38
Teacher was punctual	4.46
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.46
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.00
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.15
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.31
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.15
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.15
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.38
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.31
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.15
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.31
Evaluation	4.29

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Dr. Raza Ali Shah / Embedded Systems**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.38
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.38
Teacher was punctual	4.31
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.31
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.00
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.38
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.31
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.15
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.38
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.23
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.92
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.15
Evaluation	4.26

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Sara Tehsin / Database Systems**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.38
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.38
Teacher was punctual	4.31
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.31
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.00
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.38
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.31
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.15
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.38
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.23
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.92
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.15
Evaluation	4.26

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Bushra Fiaz / Embedded Systems Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.87
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.17
Teacher was punctual	4.35
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.13
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.13
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.30
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.35
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.26
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.17
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.35
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.22
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.22
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.83
Evaluation	4.21

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Bushra Fiaz / Digital Logic Designs Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.00
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.04
Teacher was punctual	4.04
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.04
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.04
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.32
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.14
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.21
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.82
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.14
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.96
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.11
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.82
Evaluation	4.07

4.36

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Dr. Sobia Arshad / Discrete Structures**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.88
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.03
Teacher was punctual	4.14
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.83
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.00
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.02
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.90
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.09
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.76
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.98
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.14
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.59
Evaluation	4.01

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Fasih Ahmed / Object Oriented Programming Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.57
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.79
Teacher was punctual	3.54
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.68
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.96
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.68
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.04
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	3.93
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.57
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	3.96
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.79
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.89
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.18
Evaluation	3.78

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Bushra Fiaz / Microprocessors and Interfacing Techniques Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.46
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.46
Teacher was punctual	3.69
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.85
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.54
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.23
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.77
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	3.77
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.62
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.00
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.62
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.62
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	2.69
Evaluation	3.63

4.86

COMPUTER ENGINEERING**Dr. Sobia Arshad / Computer Communication Networks**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.38
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.54
Teacher was punctual	3.23
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.69
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.62
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.00
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.85
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	3.62
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.69
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	3.54
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.69
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.54
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	2.85
Evaluation	3.53

4.86

DIRECTORATE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND COLLABORATIONS
COMPUTER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
STUDENTS' COMMENTS - FALL 2023
1st Semester
Projector problem which is not seen clearly in the class
Projector issue (dim light) lab equipment issues
Calculus lectures are not clear (Dr. Muhammad Irfan)
Main issue that I facing from very first day is related to projectors that hang under the roof, the position of these projectors is not located at a good position because there is a need of separate screen for the projector. So I request to HITEC University Management to resolve this issue as soon as possible
Projector issues in class and DMM issue in lab
The projectors were not perfectly fixed in classes
We are not satisfied from class room projectors and digital multimeters.
3rd Semester
Wi-Fi poor connection.
Our Coordinator is a lazy person
Lab pc are very slow
No Wi-Fi in departments, very slow connection
There is no facility of Internet in University
5th Semester
The scheduling in this semester was not on point. Sudden rescheduling and telling about class not being held just before 10-15 minutes of the class time. The projectors of most class are still blurry and hard to see.
Unsatisfied with the constant rescheduling of classes 10-15 minutes before the class. Unsatisfactory internet facilitation to the students.
Some of the equipment's in lab doesn't work
7th Semester

Internet issues, not even personal mobile data.

During our self-study hours, the labs mostly had some lab going on. So it was difficult for us to practice during our spare time.

There's always an internet issue which is so common.

Internet internet the cheapest net in Pakistan is in HITEC.

But there is no issue now its final year

The thing which didn't work last 3 years

I'm pretty sure it will not work this year also.



BS Electrical Engineering
Final Term Faculty Evaluation
Undergraduate Courses
FALL 2023

Date: 05-04-2024

Chairperson Electrical Engineering

Subject: **Final Term Faculty Evaluation Report – FALL 2023**

1. The Directorate of QA&C conducts online Final-Term Faculty's Evaluation Survey in each semester to evaluate faculty members and lab engineers of all the departments and score them accordingly.
2. The faculty evaluation of Undergraduate Programs for FALL Semester 2023 has been completed. The report along with analysis and recommendations has been approved by the Vice Chancellor.
3. The report of your department consists of the following information.
 - a. Analysis and Major Concerns of Students.
 - b. General Recommendations by the Directorate of QA&C.
 - c. Overall Department's Faculty Evaluation.
 - d. Individual faculty members' evaluation.
 - e. Students' comment (semester wise).
2. It is therefore requested that kindly examine the reports and take appropriate action and submit the compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C to complete the CQI cycle.

(Prof. Dr. Tahir Nadeem Malik)

Director QA&C

Analysis

S. No.	Dept.	Sem.	Theory Course	Lab Course	Analysis	
1	Electrical Engineering	1st	5	3	3.00 - 3.49 = 0 FM = 0%	
		3rd	4	3	3.50 - 3.99 = 6 FM = 12%	
		5th	5	4	4.00 - 4.49 = 29 FM = 59%	
		7th	6	4	4.50 - 5.00 = 14 FM = 29%	
		Other Dept.	11	4		
		Total	31	18		
		Major Concerns of Students:				
		1. Lack of internet access throughout the department.				
		2. Multimedia projector functioning and quality issue.				
		3. PC performance issues.				
4. Observations on the functioning of lab equipment in general and specifically DLD lab trainers are non-functional.						

General Recommendations

The primary objective of conducting teacher evaluations by students in each semester is to address the student concerns effectively, recognizing students as representatives of the university. The feedback provided by the students plays a crucial role in maintaining the quality of education and positive reputation of the University. The observations and comments gathered from students during the current semester, Fall 2023, closely resemble those from the previous two semesters, Spring 2023 and Fall 2022.

At the end of every semester, comprehensive reports along with analysis of the preceding semester were sent to the Chairperson of all the departments. They are requested to submit a Compliance Report within 15 days after thoroughly reviewing the evaluation reports and student's feedback. The compliance profile of the previous semester is enclosed as Annex-I.

Recommendations of The Directorate of QA&C for the semester Spring 2023 are given below:

- 1.** Chairpersons are encouraged to improve communication with students, addressing the concerns they've raised in teacher feedback surveys, and strive to instill confidence by transparently discussing the actions taken, whenever feasible.
- 2.** Furthermore, Chairpersons are kindly requested to organize training sessions within their respective departments for faculty members, focusing on addressing student concerns regarding teaching styles, behavioral issues, and attitudes of teachers. Training sessions must also cover the basics i.e. personality grooming and maintaining class environment etc. It is also requested to keep

the Directorate of QA&C informed by sending notifications and details regarding the content of these training sessions.

- 3.** Every chairperson must review the functionality of classroom projectors, laboratory apparatus, computers, and software to verify their proper operation. Any necessary enhancements should be implemented, and proper codal formalities must be followed accordingly.
- 4.** The Manager IT should give serious attention to students' concerns regarding internet connectivity and address them to the fullest extent possible, with approval from the competent authority. These issues have been persistently raised by students for the past two years. Furthermore, it is requested that the competent authority instruct the IT manager to submit a compliance report to the Directorate of QA&C for the completion of the CQI cycle.
- 5.** Chairpersons are kindly requested to submit the compliance report for the Fall 2023 semester, as well as the previous semester, Spring 2023, to the Directorate of QA&C within 15 days. This submission should follow a thorough analysis of the evaluation reports and student comments from both Spring 2023 and the current semester.

Overall Faculty Evaluation – EED

S. No.	Name	Subject	Program	Class	Study in Next Semester	Evaluation
1	M. Shahzad	Instrumentation & Measurement	EED	5th	4.83	4.68
2	Sehrish Naqvi	English	EED (CE)	1st	4.73	4.68
3	Mehwish Bibi	Digital Signal Processing Lab	EED	5th	4.67	4.65
4	Mehwish Bibi	Instrumentation & Measurement Lab	EED	5th	4.42	4.62
5	Zeeshan Ahmed	Microprocessor and Interfacing Techniques	EED (BME)	5th	4.40	4.62
6	M. Shahzad	Renewable Energy Resources	EED	7th (a,b)	4.67	4.59
7	M. Shahzad	Electrical Machines-II Lab	EED	5th	4.54	4.58
8	M. Adnan	Management Information System	EED (BBA & BSAF)	5th	4.56	4.58
9	Muhammad Shahzad	Biomedical Instrumentation I	EED (BME)	5th	4.45	4.55
10	Safee Ullah	Electromagnetic Theory	EED	5th	4.67	4.54
11	Waqas Ali	Economics	EED	7th (a,b)	4.65	4.54
12	Waqas Ali	Applied Physics	EED (SE)	1st	4.58	4.54
13	Waqas Ali	Wave Propagation and Antennas	EED	7th (a)	4.76	4.53
14	Dr. Kashif Imdad	Electrical Machines-II	EED	5th	4.29	4.52
15	Iftikhar Ahmad	Industrial Electronics Lab	EED	7th (a)	4.28	4.49

16	Dr. Kashif Imdad	Power Transmission & Distribution	EED	7th (b)	4.43	4.49
17	Dr. Tahir Nadeem	Power System Analysis	EED	7th (b)	4.39	4.49
18	Waqas Ali	Wave Propagation and Antennas Lab	EED	7th (a)	4.60	4.48
19	Dr. Kashif Imdad	Power Transmission & Distribution Lab	EED	7th (b)	4.52	4.48
20	Dr. M. Ali Mughal	Linear Control Systems	EED	5th	4.08	4.47
21	Dr. M. Ali Mughal	Linear Control Systems Lab	EED	5th	3.96	4.47
22	Iftikhar Ahmad	Industrial Electronics	EED	7th (a)	4.40	4.47
23	Sehrish Naqvi	English	EED (SE)	1st (b)	4.38	4.46
24	Maliha Khan	Workshop Practice	EED	1st	4.70	4.45
25	Muhammad Adnan	Power System Analysis Lab	EED	7th (b)	4.26	4.45
26	Muhammad Adnan	Applied Physics Lab	EED (SE)	1st	4.29	4.42
27	Talha Asghar	Management & Entrepreneurship	CS (EED)	3rd (a,b)	4.34	4.40
28	Zeeshan Habib	Introduction to Information Communication Technology	EED (AI)	1st (a,b)	4.17	4.37
29	Mehwish Bibi	Linear Circuit Analysis Lab	EED	1st	4.40	4.36
30	Adnan Khan	Basic Electro-Mechanical Engineering Lab	EED (CIVIL)	1st	4.20	4.34
31	Sehrish Naqvi	English	EED	3rd	4.40	4.33
32	Mehwish Bibi	Computing Fundamentals Lab	EED	1st	4.50	4.32
33	Iftikhar Ahmed	Digital Signal Processing	EED	5th	4.17	4.31
34	Maliha Khan	Data Structures & Algorithms Lab	EED	3rd	4.20	4.30

35	Zeeshan Habib	Introduction to Information Communication Technology Lab	EED (AI)	1st (a,b)	4.15	4.30
36	Zeeshan Ahmed	Computing Fundamentals	EED	1st	4.40	4.26
37	Sehrish Naqvi	Communication Skills	EED (BME)	3rd	4.3	4.24
38	Sehrish Naqvi	English	EED	1st	4.00	4.23
39	Safee Ullah	Applied Physics Lab	EED	1st	4.20	4.23
40	Sehrish Naqvi	Technical Report Writing	EED (CS)	3rd (d)	4.20	4.22
41	Safee Ullah	Applied Physics	EED	1st	4.00	4.18
42	Dr. Tahir Nadeem Malik	Linear Circuit Analysis	EED	1st	3.50	4.16
43	Sarmad Hassan	Digital Logic Design Lab	EED	3rd	4.30	4.16
44	Safee Ullah	Electronic Devices & Circuits	EED	3rd	4.30	3.99
45	Safee Ullah	Electronic Devices & Circuits Lab	EED	3rd	4.20	3.99
46	Zeeshan Ahmed	Digital Logic Design	EED	3rd	3.80	3.89
47	Iftikhar Ahmad	Data Structures & Algorithms	EED	3rd	3.30	3.80
48	Zeeshan Habib	Introduction to Information Communication Technology	EED (CybSec)	1st	3.14	3.66
49	Zeeshan Habib	Introduction to Information Communication Technology Lab	EED (CybSec)	1st	3.12	3.64

Individual Faculty Members' Evaluation

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

M. Shahzad / Instrumentation & Measurement

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.88
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.83
Teacher was punctual	4.83
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.79
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.83
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.75
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.42
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.67
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.29
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.71
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.50
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.71
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.83
Evaluation	4.68

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (CE)**Sehrish Naqvi / English**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.77
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.64
Teacher was punctual	4.82
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.86
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.68
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.45
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.64
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.55
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.64
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.77
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.73
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.64
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.73
Evaluation	4.68

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Mehwish Bibi / Digital Signal Processing Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.83
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.71
Teacher was punctual	4.88
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.63
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.75
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.71
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.50
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.67
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.38
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.67
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.54
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.58
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.67
Evaluation	4.65

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING**Mehwish Bibi / Instrumentation & Measurement Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.67
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.75
Teacher was punctual	4.83
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.57
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.71
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.50
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.42
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.71
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.38
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.71
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.50
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.58
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.42
Evaluation	4.62

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (BME)

Zeeshan Ahmed / Microprocessor and Interfacing Techniques

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.65
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.70
Teacher was punctual	4.75
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.70
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.75
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.40
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.40
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.60
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.65
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.65
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.55
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.65
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.40
Evaluation	4.62

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING**M. Shahzad / Renewable Energy Resources**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.65
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.60
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.65
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.65
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.60
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.50
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.58
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.42
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.67
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.54
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.56
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.67
Evaluation	4.59

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

M. Shahzad / Electrical Machines-II Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.75
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.71
Teacher was punctual	4.67
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.63
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.71
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.58
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.42
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.58
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.38
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.58
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.42
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.50
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.54
Evaluation	4.58

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (BBA & BSAF)

M. Adnan / Management Information System

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.56
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.60
Teacher was punctual	4.62
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.58
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.62
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.60
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.60
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.62
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.60
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.52
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.56
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.50
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.56
Evaluation	4.58

4.56

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (BME)

Muhammad Shahzad / Biomedical Instrumentation I

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.65
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.40
Teacher was punctual	4.75
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.65
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.75
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.65
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.55
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.50
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.45
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.35
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.35
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.60
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.45
Evaluation	4.55

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING**Safee Ullah / Electromagnetic Theory**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.63
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.58
Teacher was punctual	4.63
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.63
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.58
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.63
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.25
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.63
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.29
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.67
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.46
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.50
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.67
Evaluation	4.54

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Waqas Ali / Economics

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.60
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.63
Teacher was punctual	4.52
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.58
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.56
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.40
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.58
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.38
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.58
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.52
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.54
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.65
Evaluation	4.54

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (SE)**Waqas Ali / Applied Physics**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.62
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.53
Teacher was punctual	4.61
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.58
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.55
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.49
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.57
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.40
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.59
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.45
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.53
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.58
Evaluation	4.54

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Waqas Ali / Wave Propagation and Antennas

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.48
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.68
Teacher was punctual	4.44
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.52
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.56
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.60
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.48
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.60
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.36
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.60
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.60
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.48
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.76
Evaluation	4.53

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Kashif Imdad / Electrical Machines-II

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.54
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.67
Teacher was punctual	4.63
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.54
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.63
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.58
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.42
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.58
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.29
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.42
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.50
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.29
Evaluation	4.52

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Iftikhar Ahmad / Industrial Electronics Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.12
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.40
Teacher was punctual	4.56
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.56
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.52
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.56
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.48
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.64
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.40
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.56
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.56
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.56
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.28
Evaluation	4.49

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Kashif Imdad / Power Transmission & Distribution

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.43
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.43
Teacher was punctual	4.57
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.48
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.57
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.52
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.26
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.52
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.39
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.61
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.52
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.57
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.43
Evaluation	4.49

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Tahir Nadeem / Power System Analysis

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.43
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.43
Teacher was punctual	4.57
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.57
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.57
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.52
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.30
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.48
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.26
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.61
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.52
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.57
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.39
Evaluation	4.49

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Waqas Ali / Wave Propagation and Antennas Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.36
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.24
Teacher was punctual	4.48
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.52
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.60
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.60
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.44
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.60
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.40
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.52
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.52
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.52
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.60
Evaluation	4.48

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Kashif Imdad / Power Transmission & Distribution Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.43
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.35
Teacher was punctual	4.52
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.52
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.52
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.48
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.30
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.52
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.39
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.65
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.48
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.57
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.52
Evaluation	4.48

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. M. Ali Mughal / Linear Control Systems

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.25
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.38
Teacher was punctual	4.71
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.46
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.58
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.38
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.21
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.63
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.21
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.71
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.46
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.63
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.08
Evaluation	4.47

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. M. Ali Mughal / Linear Control Systems Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.46
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.50
Teacher was punctual	4.63
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.29
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.50
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.58
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.29
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.58
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.25
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.71
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.42
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.46
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.96
Evaluation	4.47

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Iftikhar Ahmad / Industrial Electronics

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.36
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.32
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.40
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.40
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.56
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.44
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.64
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.24
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.60
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.52
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.60
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.40
Evaluation	4.47

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (SE)**Sehrish Naqvi / English**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.49
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.51
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.54
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.56
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.35
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.50
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.25
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.53
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.38
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.43
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.38
Evaluation	4.46

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Maliha Khan / Workshop Practice

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.70
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.50
Teacher was punctual	4.70
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	5.00
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.70
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.20
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.00
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.50
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.10
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.20
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.70
Evaluation	4.45

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Muhammad Adnan / Power System Analysis Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.39
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.48
Teacher was punctual	4.61
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.43
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.39
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.48
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.43
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.48
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.26
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.61
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.35
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.48
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.26
Evaluation	4.45

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (SE)**Muhammad Adnan / Applied Physics Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.34
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.41
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.39
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.43
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.37
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.43
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.46
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.33
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.52
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.38
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.39
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.29
Evaluation	4.42

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (CS)

Talha Asghar / Management & Entrepreneurship

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.46
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.39
Teacher was punctual	4.52
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.56
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.51
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.43
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.26
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.44
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.13
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.39
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.21
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.49
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.34
Evaluation	4.40

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (AI)

Zeeshan Habib / Intro. to Information Comm. Technology

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.41
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.38
Teacher was punctual	4.58
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.42
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.39
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.20
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.42
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.32
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.31
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.51
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.32
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.20
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.17
Evaluation	4.37

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Mehwish Bibi / Linear Circuit Analysis Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.70
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.30
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.70
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.50
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.40
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.90
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.20
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.00
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.40
Evaluation	4.36

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (CIVIL)**Adnan Khan / Basic Electro-Mechanical Engineering Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.33
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.43
Teacher was punctual	4.43
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.37
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.37
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.03
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.47
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.50
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.17
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.27
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.20
Evaluation	4.34

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Sehrish Naqvi / English

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.50
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.50
Teacher was punctual	4.50
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.40
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.40
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.80
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.40
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.40
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.20
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.10
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.40
Evaluation	4.33

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Mehwish Bibi / Computing Fundamentals Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.60
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.30
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.70
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.30
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.90
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.20
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.20
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.10
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.90
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.50
Evaluation	4.32

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING**Iftikhar Ahmed / Digital Signal Processing**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.83
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.21
Teacher was punctual	4.38
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.29
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.25
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.54
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.38
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.58
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.17
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.46
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.29
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.33
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.17
Evaluation	4.31

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Maliha Khan / Data Structures & Algorithms Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.30
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.30
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.30
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.40
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.20
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.40
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.50
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.10
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.10
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.20
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.20
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.20
Evaluation	4.30

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (AI)

**Zeeshan Habib / Introduction to Information Communication
Technology Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.38
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.32
Teacher was punctual	4.49
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.25
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.27
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.10
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.37
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.24
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.41
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.25
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.23
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.15
Evaluation	4.30

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Zeeshan Ahmed / Computing Fundamentals

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.50
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.20
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.60
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.50
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.30
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.40
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.30
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.30
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.00
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.10
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.40
Evaluation	4.26

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (BME)

Sehrish Naqvi / Communication Skills

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.33
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.12
Teacher was punctual	4.48
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.33
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.45
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.00
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.94
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.27
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.00
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.39
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.30
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.27
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.30
Evaluation	4.24

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING**Sehrish Naqvi / English**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.40
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.10
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.40
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.50
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.00
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.20
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.20
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.60
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.20
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.40
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.00
Evaluation	4.23

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Safee Ullah / Applied Physics Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.40
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.30
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.70
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.20
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.10
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.90
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.20
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.00
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.00
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.00
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.20
Evaluation	4.23

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (CS)**Sehrish Naqvi / Technical Report Writing**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.13
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.13
Teacher was punctual	4.50
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.23
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.33
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.17
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.97
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.33
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.27
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.27
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.13
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.20
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.20
Evaluation	4.22

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Safee Ullah / Applied Physics

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.30
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.30
Teacher was punctual	4.60
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.30
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.30
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.20
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.70
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.20
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.10
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.40
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.00
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.00
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.00
Evaluation	4.18

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Dr. Tahir Nadeem Malik / Linear Circuit Analysis

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.20
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.20
Teacher was punctual	4.40
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.10
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.50
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	4.20
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.40
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.10
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.30
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.90
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.30
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.50
Evaluation	4.16

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Sarmad Hassan / Digital Logic Design Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.20
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.10
Teacher was punctual	4.50
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.20
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.90
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.80
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.20
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.30
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	4.00
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.50
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.10
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.10
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.30
Evaluation	4.16

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Safee Ullah / Electronic Devices & Circuits

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	4.00
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.00
Teacher was punctual	4.20
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.10
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.00
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.50
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.00
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.10
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.90
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.10
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.00
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.00
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.30
Evaluation	3.99

4.36

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Safee Ullah / Electronic Devices & Circuits Lab

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.90
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.90
Teacher was punctual	4.10
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	4.20
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.20
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.50
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.00
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.10
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.90
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.10
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.00
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.00
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	4.20
Evaluation	3.99

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Zeeshan Ahmed / Digital Logic Design

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.80
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	4.00
Teacher was punctual	4.40
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.90
Teacher encouraged class participation.	4.20
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.10
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.90
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	4.00
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.70
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	4.10
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.80
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.80
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.80
Evaluation	3.89

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Iftikhar Ahmad / Data Structures & Algorithms

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.20
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.90
Teacher was punctual	4.30
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.70
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.50
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.70
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	4.00
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	3.80
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.80
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	3.70
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	4.00
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	4.00
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.30
Evaluation	3.80

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (CybSec)**Zeeshan Habib / Introduction to Information Communication Technology**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.41
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.55
Teacher was punctual	4.07
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.64
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.79
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.48
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.45
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	3.83
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.48
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	3.74
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.78
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.14
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.66
Evaluation	3.41

4.86

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (CybSec)

**Zeeshan Habib / Introduction to Information Communication
Technology Lab**

Variables	Evaluation
Teacher distributed the course plan well in time	3.36
Course plan contained objectives, topics, CLOs, Grading policy etc.	3.50
Teacher was punctual	4.05
Teacher communicated the subject matter clearly and solved sufficient examples.	3.62
Teacher encouraged class participation.	3.79
Teacher was fair in marking exam papers.	3.48
Teacher returned all marked quizzes, assignments, sessionals etc. in reasonable amount of time.	3.45
Teacher was available for consultation during visiting hours	3.83
Teacher encouraged students to use Library resources to supplement learning of course topics.	3.47
Teacher covered all topics as given in the course plan.	3.69
Teacher clearly indicated questions meant for CLOs evaluation.	3.74
Teacher encouraged innovative thinking.	3.74
You want to be taught by this teacher in the next semester	3.12
Evaluation	3.64

4.86

DIRECTORATE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND COLLABORATIONS
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
STUDENTS' COMMENTS - FALL 2023
1st Semester
Internet issues
The internet is too much slow we can even open webpage for our study kindly fix it
Internet service issue please fix this issue
Lab equipment are full of problems. Internet problem.
Labs equipment in electronic lab is not good
Some of the apparatuses are not working properly
3rd Semester
Labs and theory lectures were little bit disturbed.
DLD lab trainer is not good, Class table are not comfortable, Washroom main smog detector lgae, and Wi-Fi is very bad.
DLD lab trainers don't work, no switch works on them. Classes seat should be more comfortable.. like the hall in lec 1 In washroom there should be smoke detectors as seniors smoke cigarettes there ..Wi-Fi is very bad .
In DSA course we should focus on coding examples rather than theory so lab is easy but theory is not easy.
There is no good quality of Internet.
5th Semester
Hostel Wi-Fi speed is not good
The teachers should also give field knowledge to the students with the course knowledge so the students have a better knowledge of the industry they want to join. The processors in the labs should be updated as the latest software require better processor to execute the program.

PC's in labs are very slow so we are unable to perform lab tasks in labs and internet issues too.
Kindly Provide us the best teacher of electronics for God sake don't play with our future. Secondly, about technology University better know about his lack of internet service but can't do anything and just cap up the students by this survey. Third problem is that all computers are not working properly and having old software like(2014 or backward) we r living in 2023 and going to 2024 and still having using the old versions and faulty equipment kindly check all the equipment and PCs and other components that help student to work precisely on time
Technical difficulties with online tools, challenging course content and overloaded schedules.
7th Semester
In labs equipment are not working properly and also there is no lab for fyps
Fyp lab nai ha proper ham ko issue hota ha fyp bnana ma source ni ha proper to kindly aik proper lab ho kisna sirf fyp k kam kr ska ham vaha r koi lab na ho
Labs have no proper equipment, these should be good labs in EE dept
Labs are Soo rough and look like some old village labs
Labs has faulty Apparatus and not well equipped
Most of the lab equipment are damaged and most are not available.
Yes I have faced lot of problem during semester. First of all lab equipment are not in good condition mostly when we demand some components that is not available in labs. For telecommunication kindly hire some more teachers because only one teacher is related in this field. So we need more options to choose teacher.
No FYP Lab. Teachers are not taking interest in class(Sir Waqas Ali)
Please update/fulfill lab equipment/apparatus
Labs don't have certain equipment which is taught to us in theory. Some subjects don't have same lab/theory topics which is unhelpful
Apparatus was short in our labs
Due to the old equipment in labs, most of the equipment didn't function properly. In this regard we got C- in our labs. This should be upgraded for the upcoming students.
Some Lab instruments are defaulted and PC are very slow